



Waverley Borough Council

Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment

Final Report

March 2018



Opinion Research Services | The Strand, Swansea SA1 1AF
Steve Jarman, Claire Thomas and Ciara Small
enquiries: 01792 535300 · info@ors.org.uk · www.ors.org.uk

© Copyright March 2018

Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v 3.0

Contains OS Data © Crown Copyright (2017)

Please note that this is a revised Final GTAA Report following additional work to establish the needs of Travelling Showmen residing in or resorting to Waverley that was completed after the original publication date of June 2017

Contents

1. Executive Summary	6
Introduction and Methodology	6
Key Findings.....	7
Additional Pitch Needs – Gypsies and Travellers	7
Additional Plot Needs - Travelling Showpeople	8
Transit Requirements.....	9
2. Introduction	11
Definitions	11
The Planning Definition in PPTS (2015)	11
Definition of Travelling.....	12
Legislation and Guidance for Gypsies and Travellers.....	14
PPTS (2015).....	14
3. Methodology	16
Background.....	16
Glossary of Terms.....	16
Desk-Based Review	16
Stakeholder Engagement	14
Working Collaboratively with Neighbouring Planning Authorities	14
Survey of Travelling Communities.....	14
Engagement with Bricks and Mortar Households.....	15
Timing of the Fieldwork.....	17
Calculating Current and Future Need.....	17
Applying the Planning Definition.....	17
Unknown Households	18
Households that Do Not Meet the Planning Definition	19
Supply of Pitches	20
Current Need.....	20
Future Need.....	20
Pitch Turnover	21
Transit Provision.....	22
4. Gypsy, Traveller & Travelling Showpeople Sites & Population	23
Introduction.....	23
Sites and Yards in Waverley	24
Traveller Caravan Count.....	24

5. Stakeholder Engagement	25
Introduction.....	25
Views of Key Stakeholders and Council Officers in Waverley Borough Council	26
Accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers	26
Accommodation for Travelling Showpeople.....	26
Short-term Roadside Encampments	27
Cross-border Issues	27
Neighbouring Authorities	28
Chichester District Council	28
East Hampshire District Council	28
Guildford Council.....	29
Hart District Council	30
Horsham District Council.....	31
Mole Valley District Council	32
Rushmoor Borough Council.....	33
6. Survey of Travelling Communities	35
Interviews with Gypsies and Travellers.....	35
Interviews with Gypsies and Travellers in Bricks and Mortar	36
7. Current and Future Pitch Provision.....	37
Introduction.....	37
Planning Definition	37
New Household Formation Rates	37
Breakdown by 5 Year Bands.....	39
Applying the Planning Definition.....	39
Bricks and Mortar Interviews	40
Pitch Needs – Gypsies and Travellers that meet the Planning Definition.....	40
Pitch Needs – Unknown Gypsies and Travellers	42
Pitch Needs - Gypsies and Travellers that do not meet the Planning Definition	43
Plot Needs – Travelling Showpeople that meet the Planning Definition.....	43
Plot Needs – Unknown Travelling Showpeople	44
Plot Needs – Travelling Showpeople that do not meet the Planning Definition	44
Plot Needs – Cranleigh Showpeople	45
Transit Requirements.....	45
Transit Recommendations	46
8. Conclusions	48
Gypsies and Travellers.....	48
Travelling Showpeople	48
Transit Provision.....	48

List of Figures	50
Appendix A: Glossary of Terms	51
Appendix B: Unknown Households	53
Appendix C: Households that do not meet the Planning Definition	55
Appendix D: Sites and Yards Lists (March 2017).....	57
Appendix E: Household Interview Questions	58
Appendix F: Technical Note on Household Formation and Growth Rates.....	67

1. Executive Summary

Introduction and Methodology

- ^{1.1} The primary objective of this Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA) is to provide a robust assessment of current and future need for Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople accommodation in the Borough of Waverley. As well as updating previous GTAAs, another key reason for completing the study was the publication of a revised version of Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) in August 2015. This included a change to the definition of Travellers for planning purposes. The key change that was made was the removal of the term *persons...who have ceased to travel permanently*, meaning that those who have ceased to travel permanently will not now fall under the planning definition of a Traveller for the purposes of assessing accommodation need in a GTAA (see Paragraph 2.7 for the full definition).
- ^{1.2} The GTAA provides a credible evidence base which can be used to aid the implementation of Development Plan policies and the provision of new Gypsy and Traveller pitches and Travelling Showpeople plots for the period up to 2032. The outcomes of this study supersede the outcomes of any previous Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Needs Assessments completed in Waverley.
- ^{1.3} The GTAA has sought to understand the accommodation needs of the Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople population in Waverley through a combination of desk-based research, stakeholder interviews and engagement with members of the travelling community living on all known sites. A total of 42 interviews were completed with Gypsies, and five interviews were completed with Travelling Showpeople. In addition information collected during the household interviews provided information on a further 8 Gypsy and Traveller households who were not interviewed directly. Despite extensive efforts to identify them it was only possible to interview two Travellers living in bricks and mortar (both of whom wished to remain in bricks and mortar). A total of 10 telephone interviews were completed with Officers from the Council, Officers from neighbouring planning authorities, and other local stakeholders.
- ^{1.4} The fieldwork for the study was completed between March and April 2017, which was after the publication of PPTS (2015). As a result of this questions were included in the household interview to enable the planning status of households to be determined.
- ^{1.5} The baseline date for the study is **March 2017** which was when the majority of the household interviews were completed.

Key Findings

Additional Pitch Needs – Gypsies and Travellers

- ^{1.6} Overall the additional pitch needs for Gypsies and Travellers from 2017-2032 are set out below. Additional needs are set out for those households that meet the planning definition of a Gypsy or Traveller, for those unknown households¹ where an interview was not able to be completed (either due to households refusing to be interviewed, or not being present despite up to three visits to each site) who *may* meet the planning definition, and for those households that do not meet the planning definition.
- ^{1.7} Only the need from those households who meet the planning definition and from those of the unknown households who subsequently demonstrate that they meet it should be formally considered as need arising from the GTAA.
- ^{1.8} The need arising from households that meet the planning definition should be addressed through site allocation/intensification/expansion policies.
- ^{1.9} The Council will need to carefully consider how to address the needs associated with unknown Travellers as it is unlikely that all of this need will have to be addressed through the provision of conditioned² Gypsy or Traveller pitches. In terms of Local Plan policies, the Council should consider the use of a criteria-based policy (as suggested in PPTS) for any unknown households that do provide evidence that they meet the planning definition.
- ^{1.10} The need for those households who do not meet the planning definition will need to be addressed through other means such as the Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) and through separate Local Plan policies. This is reflected in the latest consultation draft of the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2018).
- ^{1.11} There were 26 Gypsy or Traveller households identified in Waverley that meet the planning definition, 64 unknown households that may meet the planning definition and 16 households that do not meet the planning definition.
- ^{1.12} There is a need for **27 additional pitches** for households that meet the planning definition. This is made up of seven concealed or doubled-up households or adults, eight older teenage children in need of a pitch of their own in the next 5 years, one from in-migration and twelve from new household formation. There was also supply from 1 vacant pitch on the public site.
- ^{1.13} There is a need for up to 24 additional pitches for the 64 unknown households that were not able to be interviewed. This is made up of eight unauthorised pitches and 16 pitches from new household formation (using a formation rate of 1.50%). If the ORS national average³ of 10% were applied this could result in a need for two additional pitches (10% of 24). Whilst the proportion of households in Waverley that meet the planning definition (62%) is higher than 10% this is based on a small household base. Therefore, it is felt that it would be more appropriate to consider the more statistically robust national figure. However if the locally derived proportion were to be applied it could result in need for up to 15 additional pitches from unknown households.

¹ See Paragraph 3.22 for further information on unknown households.

² Pitches restricted to occupancy by Gypsies, Travellers of Travelling Showpeople through planning conditions.

³ Based on over 2,500 interviews completed by ORS across England.

^{1.14} Whilst it is no longer a requirement to include these in a GTAA there is a need for 10 additional pitches for households that do not meet the planning definition. This is made up of 2 households on unauthorised pitches, 1 from in-migration, and 7 from new household formation derived from the household demographics.

Figure 1 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Waverley (2017-2032)

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	27
Unknown	0-24
Do not meet Planning Definition	10

Figure 2– Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Waverley that meet the Planning Definition by 5 year periods

Years	0-5	6-10	11-15	Total
	2017-22	2022-27	2027-32	
	19	4	4	27

Additional Plot Needs - Travelling Showpeople

^{1.15} Overall the additional plot needs for Travelling Showpeople from 2017 to 2032 are set out below. Additional needs are set out for those households that meet the planning definition of a Travelling Showperson, for those unknown households where an interview was not able to be completed (either due to households refusing to be interviewed, or not being present despite three visits to each site) who may meet the planning definition, and for those households that do not meet the planning definition.

^{1.16} Only the need from those households who meet the planning definition and from those of the unknown households who subsequently demonstrate that they meet it, should be considered as need arising from the GTAA.

^{1.17} The need arising from households that meet the planning definition should be addressed through yard allocation/intensification/expansion policies.

^{1.18} The Council will need to carefully consider how to address the needs associated with unknown Showpeople as it is unlikely that all of this need will need to be addressed through the provision of conditioned Showpeople plots. In terms of Local Plan policies the Council could consider the use of a criteria-based policy (as suggested in PPTS) for any unknown households that do provide evidence that they meet the planning definition.

^{1.19} The need for those households who do not meet the planning definition will need to be addressed through other means such as the SHMA and through separate Local Plan policies.

^{1.20} There were three Travelling Showpeople households identified in Waverley that met the planning definition, eight unknown households that may meet the planning definition and two households that did not meet the planning definition.

^{1.21} There is a need for **two additional plots** from new household formation arising from the three household that meets the planning definition. Based on the ages of the children it is estimated that 1 of these plots will be needed in years 6-10 of the GTAA period and 1 will be needed in years 11-15.

^{1.22} There is a need for 1 additional plot from unknown households and current or future need from the households that do not meet the planning definition.

Figure 3– Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in Waverley that meet the Planning Definition by 5 year periods

Years	0-5	6-10	11-15	Total
	2017-22	2022-27	2027-32	
	0	1	1	2

- ^{1.23} In addition the Council need to be aware of potential need arising from a group of Travelling Showpeople who purchased land in Cranleigh and have sought unsuccessfully to this date to get planning consent as a result of the land being deemed unsuitable for this type and scale of development.
- ^{1.24} Following a meeting between ORS and some of the former residents and owners of the land at Cranleigh it was identified that up to 26 households are claiming a need for additional plots in Waverley to meet their needs. It is believed that many of these households may now living on yards in other local authorities.
- ^{1.25} ORS have completed GTAA's in the majority of the areas where it is believed that former residents of the land at Cranleigh are now living. Whilst it was not possible to be certain, it is believed that a number of the households were interviewed during these other studies. The household interviews completed by ORS include questions on whether households are planning to move and whether they own or know of land that could be developed as a new yard. During the course of these interviews no households stated that they were seeking to move to Waverley, or that they own or know of land in Waverley that could be developed as a new yard. Any current or future accommodation needs from these households have therefore been included in the areas where other GTAA Studies has been completed.
- ^{1.26} Therefore whilst the majority of households that attended the meeting with ORS claimed a need for additional plots on Waverley, there has been no other evidence of need from in-migration from households living outside of Waverley who are believed to be owners of the land at Cranleigh. This suggests that this GTAA should not identify a specific level of need for additional plots for Travelling Showpeople in Waverley as this could amount to double counting of need.
- ^{1.27} However it is recommended that the Council actively engage with representatives from this group of Showmen to try and identify a practical solution to meeting some or all of the desire to move back to Waverley that was evident from the outcomes of the meeting with ORS.

Transit Requirements

- ^{1.28} It is recommended that whilst there are small numbers of unauthorised encampments in Waverley, there is no need for the Council to provide any transit sites at this time and that the situation relating to levels of encampments should be continually monitored whilst any potential changes associated with PPTS (2015) develop.
- ^{1.29} As well as information on the size and duration of the encampments, this monitoring should also seek to gather information from residents on the reasons for their stay in Waverley; whether they have a permanent base or where they have travelled from; and whether they have any need or preference to settle permanently in Waverley; and whether their travelling is a result of changes to PPTS (2015). This information could be collected as part of a Welfare Assessment (or equivalent).
- ^{1.30} A review of the evidence base relating to unauthorised encampments, including the monitoring referred to above, should be undertaken in autumn 2018 once there is a new 3 year evidence base following the changes to PPTS in 2015. This will establish whether there is a need for investment in any formal transit sites or emergency stopping places, or whether a managed approach is preferable.

- ^{1.31} In the short-term the Council should consider the use of existing management arrangements for dealing with unauthorised encampments and could also consider the use of Negotiated Stopping Agreements, as opposed to taking forward an infrastructure-based approach.
- ^{1.32} The term ‘negotiated stopping’ is used to describe agreed short term provision for Gypsy and Traveller caravans. It does not describe permanent ‘built’ transit sites but negotiated agreements which allow caravans to be sited on suitable specific pieces of ground for an agreed and limited period of time, with the provision of limited services such as water, waste disposal and toilets. Agreements are made between the authority and the (temporary) residents regarding expectations on both sides.
- ^{1.33} Temporary stopping places can be made available at times of increased demand due to fairs or cultural celebrations that are attended by Gypsies and Travellers. A charge may be levied as determined by the local authority although they only need to provide basic facilities including: a cold water supply; portaloos; sewerage disposal point and refuse disposal facilities.

2. Introduction

- ^{2.1} The primary objective of this Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA) is to provide a robust assessment of current and future need for Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople accommodation in Waverley. The outcomes of this study supersede the outcomes of any previous Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Needs Assessments completed in Waverley.
- ^{2.2} The study provides an evidence base to enable the Council to comply with their requirements towards Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople under the Housing Act 1985, the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2012, Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) 2014, Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) 2015, and the Housing and Planning Act (2016).
- ^{2.3} The GTAA provides a robust assessment of need for Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople accommodation in the study area. It is a credible evidence base which can be used to aid the implementation of development plan policies and the provision of Traveller pitches and plots in five year increments covering the period 2017 to 2032. As well as identifying current and future permanent accommodation needs, it also seeks to identify any need for the provision of transit sites or emergency stopping places.
- ^{2.4} We would note at the outset that the study covers the needs of Gypsies (including English, Scottish, Welsh and Romany Gypsies), Irish Travellers, New (Age) Travellers, and Travelling Showpeople, but for ease of reference we have referred to the study as a Gypsy and Traveller (and Travelling Showpeople) Accommodation Assessment (GTAA).
- ^{2.5} The baseline date for the study is March 2017 which was when the majority of household interviews were completed.

Definitions

- ^{2.6} The planning definition for a Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showperson is set out in PPTS (2015). The previous definition set out in the Housing Act (2004) was repealed by the Housing and Planning Act (2016).

The Planning Definition in PPTS (2015)

- ^{2.7} For the purposes of the planning system, the definition was changed in PPTS (2015). The planning definition is set out in Annex 1 and states that:

For the purposes of this planning policy “gypsies and travellers” means:

Persons of nomadic habit of life whatever their race or origin, including such persons who on grounds only of their own or their family’s or dependants’ educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily, but excluding members of an organised group of travelling showpeople or circus people travelling together as such.

In determining whether persons are “gypsies and travellers” for the purposes of this planning policy, consideration should be given to the following issues amongst other relevant matters:

- a) Whether they previously led a nomadic habit of life.
- b) The reasons for ceasing their nomadic habit of life.
- c) Whether there is an intention of living a nomadic habit of life in the future, and if so, how soon and in what circumstances.

For the purposes of this planning policy, “travelling showpeople” means:

Members of a group organised for the purposes of holding fairs, circuses or shows (whether or not travelling together as such). This includes such persons who on the grounds of their own or their family’s or dependants’ more localised pattern of trading, educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily, but excludes Gypsies and Travellers as defined above.

(Planning Policy for Traveller Sites, Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG), August 2015)

- ^{2.8} The key change that was made to both definitions was the change to the term *persons...who have ceased to travel temporarily or permanently*, meaning that those who have ceased to travel permanently will no longer fall under the planning definition of a Traveller for the purposes of assessing accommodation need in a GTAA. This change was made by DCLG in August 2015 following a consultation process in 2014.

Definition of Travelling

- ^{2.9} One of the most important questions that GTAAs will need to address in terms of applying the planning definition is what constitutes travelling? This has been determined through case law that has tested the meaning of the term ‘nomadic’.
- ^{2.10} **R v South Hams District Council (1994)** – defined Gypsies as “persons who wander or travel for the purpose of making or seeking their livelihood (not persons who travel from place to place without any connection between their movements and their means of livelihood.)” This includes ‘born’ Gypsies and Travellers as well as ‘elective’ Travellers such as New Age Travellers.
- ^{2.11} In **Maidstone BC v Secretary of State for the Environment and Dunn (2006)**, it was held that a Romany Gypsy who bred horses and travelled to horse fairs at Appleby, Stow-in-the-Wold and the New Forest, where he bought and sold horses, and who remained away from his permanent site for up to two months of the year, at least partly in connection with this traditional Gypsy activity, was entitled to be accorded Gypsy status.
- ^{2.12} In **Greenwich LBC v Powell (1989)**, Lord Bridge of Harwich stated that a person could be a statutory Gypsy if he led a nomadic way of life only seasonally.
- ^{2.13} The definition was widened further by the decision in **R v Shropshire CC ex p Bungay (1990)**. The case concerned a Gypsy family that had not travelled for some 15 years in order to care for its elderly and infirm parents. An aggrieved resident living in the area of the family’s recently approved Gypsy site sought judicial review of the local authority’s decision to accept that the family had retained their Gypsy status even though they had not travelled for some considerable time. Dismissing the claim, the judge held that a person could remain a Gypsy even if he or she did not travel, provided that their nomadism was held in abeyance and not abandoned.
- ^{2.14} That point was revisited in the case of **Hearne v National Assembly for Wales (1999)**, where a traditional Gypsy was held not to be a Gypsy for the purposes of planning law as he had stated that he

intended to abandon his nomadic habit of life, lived in a permanent dwelling and was taking a course that led to permanent employment.

- ^{2.15} **Wrexham County Borough Council v National Assembly of Wales and Others (2003)** determined that households and individuals could continue to lead a nomadic way of life with a permanent base from which they set out from and return to.
- ^{2.16} The implication of these rulings in terms of applying the planning definition is that **it will only include those who travel (or have ceased to travel temporarily) for work purposes and in doing so stay away from their usual place of residence**. It can include those who have a permanent site or place of residence, but that it will not include those who travel for purposes other than work – such as visiting horse fairs and visiting friends or relatives. It will not cover those who commute to work daily from a permanent place of residence.
- ^{2.17} It will also be that a household where some family members travel for nomadic purposes on a regular basis, but where other family members stay at home to look after children in education, or other dependents with health problems etc. the household unit would be defined as travelling under the planning definition.
- ^{2.18} Households will also fall under the planning definition if they can demonstrate that they have ceased to travel temporarily as a result of their own or their family's or dependants' educational, health needs or old age. In order to have ceased to travel temporarily these households will need to demonstrate that they have travelled in the past. In addition, households may also have to demonstrate that they plan to travel again in the future.
- ^{2.19} This approach was endorsed by a Planning Inspector in a recent Decision Notice for an appeal in East Hertfordshire (Appeal Ref: APP/J1915/W/16/3145267). A summary can be seen below.

Case law, including the R v South Hams District Council ex parte Gibb (1994) judgment referred to me at the hearing, despite its reference to 'purposive activities including work' also refers to a connection between the travelling and the means of livelihood, that is, an economic purpose. In this regard, there is no economic purpose... This situation is no different from that of many landlords and property investors or indeed anyone travelling to work in a fixed, pre-arranged location. In this regard there is not an essential connection between wandering and work... Whilst there does appear to be some connection between the travel and the work in this regard, it seems to me that these periods of travel for economic purposes are very short, amounting to an extremely small proportion of his time and income. Furthermore, the work is not carried out in a nomadic manner because it seems likely that it is done by appointment... I conclude, therefore, that XX does not meet the definition of a gypsy and traveller in terms of planning policy because there is insufficient evidence that he is currently a person of a nomadic habit of life.

- ^{2.20} This was further reinforced in a more recent Decision Notice for an appeal in Norfolk that was issued in February 2018 (Ref: APP/V2635/W/17/3180533) that stated:

As discussed during the hearing, although the PPTS does not spell this [the planning definition] out, it has been established in case law (R v South Hams DC 1994) that the nomadism must have an economic purpose. In other words gypsies and travellers wander of travel for the purposes of making or seeking their livelihood.

Legislation and Guidance for Gypsies and Travellers

^{2.21} Decision-making for policy concerning Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sits within a complex legislative and national policy framework and this study must be viewed in the context of this legislation and guidance. For example, the following key pieces of legislation and guidance are relevant when developing policies relating to Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople:

- » The Housing and Planning Act, 2016
- » Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS), 2015
- » National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), 2012
- » Planning Practice Guidance⁴ (PPG), 2014

^{2.22} The primary guidance for undertaking the assessment of housing need for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople is set out in PPTS (2015). It should be read in conjunction with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). In addition, the Housing and Planning Act (2016) makes provisions for the assessment of need for those Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople households living on sites and yards who do not meet the planning definition – through the assessment of all households living in caravans.

PPTS (2015)

^{2.23} PPTS (2015), sets out the direction of Government policy. As well as introducing the planning definition of a Traveller, PPTS is closely linked to the NPPF. Among other objectives, the aims of the policy in respect of Traveller sites are (PPTS Paragraph 4):

- » Local planning authorities should make their own assessment of need for the purposes of planning.
- » To ensure that local planning authorities, working collaboratively, develop fair and effective strategies to meet need through the identification of land for sites.
- » To encourage local planning authorities to plan for sites over a reasonable timescale.
- » That plan-making and decision-taking should protect Green Belt from inappropriate development.
- » To promote more private Traveller site provision while recognising that there will always be those Travellers who cannot provide their own sites.
- » That plan-making and decision-taking should aim to reduce the number of unauthorised developments and encampments and make enforcement more effective.
- » For local planning authorities to ensure that their Local Plan includes fair, realistic and inclusive policies.
- » To increase the number of Traveller sites in appropriate locations with planning permission, to address under provision and maintain an appropriate level of supply.
- » To reduce tensions between settled and Traveller communities in plan-making and planning decisions.
- » To enable provision of suitable accommodation from which Travellers can access education, health, welfare and employment infrastructure.

⁴With particular reference to the sections on Housing and Economic Development Needs Assessments

- » For local planning authorities to have due regard to the protection of local amenity and local environment.

^{2.24} In practice, the document states that (PPTS Paragraph 9):

- » *Local planning authorities should set pitch targets for Gypsies and Travellers and plot targets for Travelling Showpeople, which address the likely permanent and transit site accommodation needs of Travellers in their area, working collaboratively with neighbouring local planning authorities.*

^{2.25} PPTS goes on to state (Paragraph 10) that in producing their Local Plan local planning authorities should:

- » *Identify and annually update a supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide five years' worth of sites against their locally set targets.*
- » *Identify a supply of specific, developable sites or broad locations for growth, for years 6-10 and, where possible, for years 11-15.*
- » *Consider production of joint development plans that set targets on a cross-authority basis, to provide more flexibility in identifying sites, particularly if a local planning authority has special or strict planning constraints across its area (local planning authorities have a Duty-to-Cooperate on strategic planning issues that cross administrative boundaries).*
- » *Relate the number of pitches or plots to the circumstances of the specific size and location of the site and the surrounding population's size and density.*
- » *Protect local amenity and environment.*

^{2.26} Local Authorities now have a duty to ensure a 5 year land supply to meet the identified needs for Traveller sites. However, 'Planning Policy for Traveller Sites' also notes in Paragraph 11 that:

- » *Where there is no identified need, criteria-based policies should be included to provide a basis for decisions in case applications nevertheless come forward. Criteria-based policies should be fair and should facilitate the traditional and nomadic life of Travellers, while respecting the interests of the settled community.*

3. Methodology

Background

- ^{3.1} Over the past 10 years, ORS has continually refined a methodology for undertaking robust and defensible Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Needs Assessments. This has been updated in light of the introduction of the PPG in 2014, changes to PPTS in August 2015 and the Housing and Planning Act (2016), as well as responding to changes set out by Planning Ministers, with particular reference to new household formation rates. This is an evolving methodology that has been adaptive to changes in planning policy as well as the outcomes of Local Plan Examinations and Planning Appeals.
- ^{3.2} PPTS (2015) contains a number of requirements for local authorities which must be addressed in any methodology. This includes the need to pay particular attention to early and effective community engagement with both settled and traveller communities (including discussing travellers' accommodation needs with travellers themselves); identification of permanent and transit site accommodation needs separately; working collaboratively with neighbouring local planning authorities; and establishing whether households fall within the planning definition for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople.
- ^{3.3} The approach currently used by ORS was considered in April 2016 and July 2017 by the Planning Inspector for the Gloucester, Cheltenham and Tewkesbury Joint Core Strategy. She concluded in her final Examination Report that was published in October 2017:

The methodology behind this assessment incorporates a full demographic study of all occupied pitches, a comprehensive effort to undertake interviews with Gypsy and Traveller households, and consideration of the implications of the new national policy. I am satisfied that the GTAA provides a robust and credible evidence base and I accept its findings.

- ^{3.4} The stages below provide a summary of the methodology that was used to complete this study. More information on each stage is provided in the appropriate sections of this report.

Glossary of Terms

- ^{3.5} A Glossary of Terms can be found in **Appendix A**.

Desk-Based Review

- ^{3.6} ORS collated a range of secondary data that was used to support the study. This included:
- » Census data
 - » Caravan counts
 - » Records of unauthorised sites/encampments
 - » Information on planning applications/appeals
 - » Information on enforcement actions
 - » Existing Needs Assessments and other relevant local studies
 - » Existing national and local policy, guidance and best practice

Stakeholder Engagement

^{3.7} Engagement was undertaken with key Council Officers and with wider stakeholders through telephone interviews. Four interviews were undertaken with Council Officers from the study area.

Working Collaboratively with Neighbouring Planning Authorities

^{3.8} To help support the Duty-to-Cooperate and provide background information for the study, telephone interviews were conducted with Planning Officers in neighbouring planning authorities. These interviews will help to ensure that wider issues that may impact on this project are fully understood. This included interviews with Officers from the Council set out below. Again, a detailed topic guide was agreed with the Council.

- » Chichester District Council
- » East Hampshire District Council
- » Guildford Borough Council
- » Hart District Council
- » Horsham District Council
- » Mole Valley District Council
- » Rushmoor Borough Council.

Survey of Travelling Communities

^{3.9} Through the desk-based research and the stakeholder interviews, ORS sought to identify all authorised and unauthorised sites/yards and encampments in the study area and attempted to complete an interview with the residents on all occupied pitches and plots. In order to gather robust information to use to assess households against the planning definition of a Traveller multiple visits were made to households where it was not initially possible to conduct an interview because they were not in or not available at the time.

^{3.10} Our experience suggests that an attempt to interview households on all pitches is more robust, as opposed to a sample based approach which often leads to an under-estimate of need - an approach which is regularly challenged by the Planning Inspectorate and at planning appeals.

^{3.11} ORS worked closely with the Council to ensure that the interviews collected all the necessary information to support the study. The site interview questions that were used have been updated to take account of recent changes to PPTS and to collect the information ORS feel is necessary to apply the planning definition. All pitches and plots were visited by members of our dedicated team of experienced interviewers who work on our GTAA studies across England and Wales. They conducted semi-structured interviews with residents to determine their current demographic characteristics, their current or future accommodation needs, whether there is any over-crowding or the presence of concealed households and travelling characteristics. Interviewers also sought to identify contacts living in bricks and mortar to interview, as well as an overall assessment of each site to determine any opportunities for intensification or expansion to meet future needs.

- 3.12 They also sought information from residents on the type of pitches they may require in the future – for example private or socially rented, together with any features they may wish to be provided on a new pitch or site.
- 3.13 Where it was not possible to undertake an interview, staff sought to capture as much information as possible about each pitch using a Pitch Outcome Form from sources including neighbouring residents and site management (if present).
- 3.14 Interviewers also distributed copies of an information leaflet that was prepared by Friends, Families and Travellers explaining the reasons for the need to complete the household interview as part of the GTAA process.

Figure 4 – Friends, Families and Traveller Leaflet

fft
Friends Families and Travellers

We are writing to you from Friends, Families and Travellers (FFT) a national charity working on behalf of Gypsies and Travellers
www.gypsy-traveller.org

MORE PITCHES PLEASE!

Councils are currently carrying out new Accommodation Needs Assessments. The assessments are being done to work out if there is a need for more Gypsy/Traveller sites in your area and it is really important that you take part in the process so that your Council identifies the true level of need for sites in your area.

Your council will almost certainly employ consultants to carry out the assessment and you will probably be asked to complete a questionnaire.

How you answer the assessment questions is really important as it will affect the number of pitches required in an area.

Questions about travelling are particularly important.

In 2015 the Government changed the planning definition of what it means to be a 'Gypsy or Traveller' and it now reads as follows:

Persons of nomadic habit of life whatever their race or origin, including such persons who on grounds only of their own or their family's or dependants' educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily, but excluding members of an organised group of travelling showpeople or circus people travelling together as such.

This means that if you have completely stopped travelling, even if it is as a result of ill-health or old age or because you care for people who are too old or too ill to travel then you will be unlikely to meet the planning definition and any need you or your dependants have for a caravan site will no longer be included in the Council's assessment of its need for Gypsy/Traveller sites in your area.

For example, one question asks 'How many trips you have made in the last 12 months?'
If you answer '0' to this question then you will probably not be deemed a Gypsy or Traveller according to the new planning definition, so don't forget to include trips such as for work, looking for work, going to horse fairs etc.

Another question asks 'When did you stop travelling?'
Please think carefully before answering such a question. Have you stopped travelling for good? If so then you could be judged not to be a Gypsy or Traveller in planning terms.

Finally, a question asks 'Do family members plan to travel in the future?'
Again, please bear in mind that if you answer 'No' you will be judged not to be a Gypsy or Traveller in planning terms, so think carefully about whether you are ever likely to be travelling again in the future.

Another question asks 'Have you or family members ever travelled?'
If you answer 'No' to this question then you will be probably be judged not to be a Gypsy or Traveller in planning terms. So again don't forget to include trips looking for work, visiting horse fairs etc.

fft
Friends Families and Travellers

If you want to speak to us further please do not hesitate to call FFT on 01273 234 777 or your local Gypsy/Traveller group.

Engagement with Bricks and Mortar Households

- 3.15 The 2011 Census records 38 households that identify as Gypsy or Irish Travellers who live in a house or flat in Waverley.
- 3.16 ORS apply a rigorous approach to making contact with bricks and mortar households as this is a common issue raised at Local Plan examinations and planning appeals. Contacts were sought through a range of sources including the interviews with people on existing sites and yards, intelligence from the stakeholder interviews, information from housing registers and other local knowledge from stakeholders and adverts on social media (including the Friends, Families and Travellers Facebook group – see Figure 4). Through this approach we endeavoured to do everything within our means to give households living in bricks and mortar the opportunity to

make their views known to us. The following additional approaches were taken to identify potential households to interview in Waverley.

- » **Local Authority Housing Services:** ORS received contact details of two Housing Register applicants; however no contact was made with them, despite several attempts. Tenancy Estates sent letters to 12 tenants who had specified their ethnic origin as Gypsy/Traveller, however no one came forward as a result of this.
- » **Registered Housing Providers:** ORS contacted the 26 providers; only one provider responded and confirmed that they are aware that there are Gypsies and Travellers living in their properties, but only through word of mouth. They do not actually record ethnicity so he had no way of contacting them on our behalf.
- » **Stakeholders:** One officer sent letters to four families living in bricks and mortar; however no one came forward as a result of this.
- » **Waiting List:** ORS received contact details of three waiting list applicants; however no contact was made with them, despite several attempts.
- » **REMA Traveller Support:** ORS contacted REMA, however no response was received.

3.17 ORS also attempted to engage with Surrey Gypsy Traveller Communities Forum; however, a spokesperson confirmed that they would not be able to help on this occasion.

3.18 As a rule, we do not make any assumptions on the overall needs from household in bricks and mortar based on the outcomes of any interviews that are completed as in our experience this leads to a significant over-estimate of the number of households wishing to move to a site or a yard. We work on the assumption that all those wishing to move will make their views known to us based on the wide range of publicity we will put in place. Thus we are seeking to shift the burden of responsibility on to those living in bricks and mortar through demonstrating disproportionate efforts to make them aware of the study. This approach has been supported by Planning Inspectors in Appeal Decision Notices.

Figure 5 – Bricks and Mortar Advert



The image shows a screenshot of a Facebook post from the page 'Friends, Families and Travellers'. The post is dated 29 March at 11:05. The text of the post reads: 'Opinion Research Services (ORS) is an independent research company who carry out Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Assessments across the country. These assessments must be carried out by every council to inform them how many new pitches and plots will need to be provided in the future. ORS would like to speak to Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople who are looking to develop a site or yard or who live in bricks and mortar and would prefer to live on a site or yard in any of the following areas: Basildon, Basingstoke, Blaby, Braintree, Brentwood, Broxbourne, Castle Point, Cheshire East, Cheshire West, Charnwood, Chelms-ford, Colchester, Dorset, East Hampshire, Epping Forest, Fareham, Gateshead, Gosport, Halton, Harborough, Harlow, Harrogate, Havant, Havering, Hertsmere, Hillingdon, Hounslow, Leicester City, Maldon, Melton, Middlesbrough, Newcastle, New Forest, North Somerset, North West Leicestershire, Oadby & Wigston, Oxford City, Rochford, Southend-on-Sea, South Oxfordshire, Surrey Heath, Tendring, Test Valley, Three Rivers, Vale of the White Horse, Warrington, Waverley, West Northamptonshire, Uttlesford, Winchester. Your views are very important to us. If you would like to speak to ORS about your accommodation needs please contact Claire Thomas on 01792 535337 or email claire.thomas@ors.org.uk'. At the bottom of the post are icons for 'Like', 'Comment', and 'Share'.

Timing of the Fieldwork

- 3.19 ORS are fully aware of the transient nature of many travelling communities and subsequent seasonal variations in site and yard occupancy. As such, all of the fieldwork was undertaken during the non-travelling season in March and April 2017, and also avoided days of known local or national events.

Calculating Current and Future Need

- 3.20 The primary change introduced by PPTS (2015) in relation to the assessment of need is the change in the definition of a Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showperson for planning purposes. Through the site interviews ORS sought to collect information necessary to assess each household against the planning definition. As PPTS (2015) has only recently been issued only a small number of relevant appeal decisions have been issued by the Planning Inspectorate on how the planning definition should be applied – these support the view that households need to be able to demonstrate that they travel for work purposes to meet the planning definition, and stay away from their usual place of residence when doing so.
- 3.21 To identify need, PPTS (2015) requires an assessment for current and future pitch requirements, but does not provide a methodology for this. However, as with any housing assessment, the underlying calculation can be broken down into a relatively small number of factors. In this case, the key issue is to compare the supply of pitches available for occupation with the current and future needs of the population.

Applying the Planning Definition

- 3.22 The household survey included a structured section of questions to record information about the travelling characteristics of household members. This included questions on the following key issues:
- » Whether any household members have travelled in the past 12 months.
 - » Whether household members have ever travelled.
 - » The main reasons for travelling.
 - » Where household members travelled to.
 - » The times of the year that household members travelled.
 - » Where household members stay when they are away travelling.
 - » When household members stopped travelling.
 - » The reasons why household members stopped travelling.
 - » Whether household members intend to travel again in the future.
 - » When and the reasons why household members plan to travel again in the future.
- 3.23 When the household survey was completed, the answers from these questions on travelling were used to determine the status of each household against the planning definition in PPTS (2015). Through a combination of responses, households need to provide sufficient information to demonstrate that household members travel for work purposes and in doing so stay away

from their usual place of residence, or that they have ceased to travel temporarily due to education, ill health or old age, and plan to travel again for work purposes in the future. The same definition applies to Travelling Showpeople as to Gypsies and Travellers.

3.24 Households that need to be considered in the GTAA fall under one of three classifications that will determine whether their housing needs will need to be assessed in the GTAA. Only those households that meet, or may meet, the planning definition will form the components of need to be included in the GTAA:

- » Households that travel under the planning definition.
- » Households that have ceased to travel temporarily under the planning definition.
- » Households where an interview was not possible who *may* fall under the planning definition.

3.25 Whilst the needs of those households that do not meet the planning definition do not need to be included in the GTAA, they will be assessed to provide the Council with components of need to consider as part of their work on wider housing needs assessments.

Unknown Households

3.26 As well as calculating need for households that meet the planning definition, the needs of the households where an interview was not completed (either due to refusal to be interviewed or households that were not present during the fieldwork period) need to be assessed as part of the GTAA where they are believed to be ethnic Gypsies and Travellers who may meet the planning definition. Whilst there is no law or guidance that sets out how the needs of these households should be addressed, an approach has been taken that seeks an estimate of potential need from these households. This will be a maximum additional need figure over and above the need identified for households that do meet the planning definition.

3.27 The estimate of potential need in unknown households seeks to identify potential current and future need from many pitches known to be temporary or unauthorised, and through new household formation. For the latter, the national rate of 1.50% has been used as the demographics of residents are unknown. This approach is consistent with the outcomes of a recent Planning Appeal where access to a site was not possible but basic information was known about the number of households residing there. (Planning Inspectorate Ref: APP/Z6950/A/14/2212012).

3.28 Should further information be made available to the Council that will allow for the planning definition to be applied, these households could either form a confirmed component of need to be addressed in through the GTAA or the SHMA.

3.29 ORS are of the opinion that it would not be appropriate when producing a robust assessment of need to make any firm assumptions about whether or not households where an interview was not completed meet the planning definition based on the outcomes of households where an interview was completed.

3.30 However, data that has been collected from over 2,500 household interviews that have been completed by ORS since the changes to PPTS in 2015 suggests that overall approximately 10% of

households who have been interviewed meet the planning definition – and in some local authorities, particularly London Boroughs, no households meet the planning definition.

- 3.31 ORS are not implying that this is an Official National Statistic - rather a national statistic based on the outcomes of our fieldwork since the introduction of PPTS (2015). It is estimated that there are between 12,000-14,000 Gypsy and Traveller pitches in England and we have spoken to over 12% of them at a representative range of sites and just over 10% meet the planning definition. ORS also asked similar questions on travelling in over 2,000 pre-PPTS (2015) household interviews and also found that 10% of households would have met the PPTS (2015) planning definition. It is ORS' view therefore that this is the most comprehensive national statistic in relation to households that meet the planning definition in PPTS (2015) and should be seen as a robust statistical figure.
- 3.32 This would suggest that it is likely that approximately 10% of the potential need identified from unknown households will need conditioned Gypsy and Traveller pitches, and that the needs of the majority will need to be addressed through the SHMA for example.
- 3.33 In terms of Local Plan policies, the Council should consider the use of a criteria-based policy (as suggested in PPTS) for any unknown households that do provide evidence that they meet the planning definition.
- 3.34 An assessment of need for unknown households can be found **in Appendix B**.
- 3.35 The ORS methodology to address the need arising from unknown households was supported by the Planning Inspector for a Local Plan Examination for Maldon Borough Council, Essex. In his Report that was published on 29th June 2017 he concluded:

150. The Council's stance is that any need arising from 'unknowns' should be a matter left to the planning application process. Modifications to Policy H6 have been put forward by the Council setting out criteria for such a purpose, which I consider further below. To my mind, that is an appropriate approach. While there remains a possibility that up to 10 further pitches may be needed, that cannot be said to represent identified need. It would be unreasonable to demand that the Plan provide for needs that have not been established to exist. That being said, **MM242h** is nonetheless necessary in this regard. It commits the Council to a review of the Plan if future reviews of the GTAA reveal the necessity for land allocations to provide for presently 'unknown' needs. For effectiveness, I have altered this modification from the version put forward by the Council by replacing the word "may" with "will" in relation to undertaking the review committed to. I have also replaced "the Plan" with "Policy H6" – the whole Plan need not be reviewed.

Households that Do Not Meet the Planning Definition

- 3.36 Whilst households who do not travel fall outside the planning definition of a Traveller, Romany Gypsies, Irish and Scottish Travellers may be able to claim a right to culturally appropriate accommodation under the Equality Act (2010). In addition, provisions set out in the Housing and Planning Act (2016) now include a duty (under Section 8 of the 1985 Housing Act that covers the requirement for a periodical review of housing needs) for local authorities to consider the needs of people residing in or resorting to their district with respect to the provision of sites on which caravans can be stationed, or places on inland waterways where houseboats can be moored.

Draft Guidance⁵ related to this section of the Act has been published setting out how the government would want local housing authorities to undertake this assessment and it is the same as the GTAA assessment process. The implication is therefore that the housing needs of any Gypsy and Traveller households who do not meet the planning definition of a Traveller will need to be assessed as part of the wider housing needs of the area, for example through the SHMA process, and will form a subset of the wider need arising from households residing in caravans. An assessment of need for Travellers that do not meet the planning definition can be found in **Appendix C**.

Supply of Pitches

^{3.37} The first stage of the assessment sought to determine the number of occupied, vacant and potentially available supply in the study area:

- » Current vacant pitches.
- » Pitches currently with planning consent due to be developed within 5 years.
- » Pitches vacated by people moving to housing.
- » Pitches vacated by people moving from the study area (out-migration).

^{3.38} It is important when seeking to identify supply from vacant pitches that they are in fact available for general occupation – i.e. on a public or social rented site, or on a private site that is run on a commercial basis with anyone being able to rent a pitch if they are available. Typically vacant pitches on small private family sites are not included as components of available supply, but can be used to meet any current and future need from the family living on the site.

Current Need

^{3.39} The second stage was to identify components of current need, which is not necessarily the need for additional pitches because they may be able to be addressed by space already available in the study area. This is made up of the following. It is important to address issues of double counting:

- » Households on unauthorised developments for which planning permission is not expected.
- » Households on unauthorised encampments for which planning permission is not expected.
- » Concealed, doubled-up or over-crowded households (including single adults).
- » Households in bricks and mortar wishing to move to sites.
- » Households in need on waiting lists for public sites.

Future Need

^{3.40} The final stage was to identify components of future need. This includes the following four components:

- » Teenage children in need of a pitch of their own.

⁵ *Draft guidance to local housing authorities on the periodical review of housing needs for caravans and houseboats. (March 2016)*

- » Households living on sites with temporary planning permissions.
- » New household formation.
- » In-migration.

3.41 Household formation rates are often the subject of challenge at appeals or examinations. We agree with the position set by Ministers from DCLG in a Ministerial Statement in 2014 and firmly believe that any household formation rates should use a robust local evidence base, rather than simply relying on precedent. This is set out in more detail later in this report.

3.42 All of these components of supply and need are presented in easy to understand tables which identify the overall net need for current and future accommodation for both Gypsies and Travellers. This has proven to be a robust model for identifying needs. The residential and transit pitch needs for Gypsies and Travellers are identified separately and the needs are identified in 5 year periods to 2032.

Pitch Turnover

3.43 Some assessments of need make use of pitch turnover as an ongoing component of supply. ORS do not agree with this approach or make any assumptions about annual turnover rates. This is an approach that can result in a significant under-estimate of need as a result of vacant pitches on sites not being available to meet additional need. The use of pitch turnover has been the subject of a number of Inspectors Decisions, for example APP/J3720/A/13/2208767 found a GTAA to be unsound when using pitch turnover and concluded:

West Oxfordshire Council relies on a GTAA published in 2013. This identifies an immediate need for 6 additional pitches. However the GTAA methodology treats pitch turnover as a component of supply. This is only the case if there is net outward migration yet no such scenario is apparent in West Oxfordshire. Based on the evidence before me I consider the underlying criticism of the GTAA to be justified and that unmet need is likely to be higher than that in the findings in the GTAA.

3.44 In addition, a recent GTAA Best Practice Guide produced by a number of organisations including Friends, Families and Travellers, the London Gypsy and Traveller Unit, the York Travellers Trust, the Derbyshire Gypsy Liaison Group, Garden Court Chambers and Leeds GATE concluded that:

Assessments involving any form of pitch turnover in their supply relies upon making assumptions; a practice best avoided. Turnover is naturally very difficult to assess accurately and in practice does not contribute meaningfully to additional supply so should be very carefully assessed in line with local trends. Mainstream housing assessments are not based on the assumption that turnover within the existing stock can provide for general housing needs.

3.45 As such, other than current vacant pitches on sites that are known to be available, or pitches that are known to become available through the household interviews, pitch turnover has not been considered as a component of supply in this GTAA.

Transit Provision

^{3.46} PPTS also requires an assessment of the need for any transit sites or stopping places. While the majority of Gypsies, Travellers and have permanent bases either on Gypsy and Traveller sites or in bricks and mortar and no longer travel, other members of the community either travel permanently or for part of the year. Due to the mobile nature of the population, a range of sites or management approaches can be developed to accommodate Gypsies and Travellers as they move through different areas, including: -

- » Transit sites
- » Temporary/Emergency stopping places
- » Temporary (seasonal) sites
- » Negotiated Stopping Agreements

^{3.47} In order to investigate the potential need for transit provision when undertaking work to support the study, ORS sought to undertake analysis of any records of unauthorised sites and encampments, as well as information from the CLG Caravan Count. The outcomes of the interviews with Council Officers, Officers from neighbouring planning authorities and other stakeholders was also be taken into consideration when determining this element of need in the study area.

4. Gypsy, Traveller & Travelling Showpeople Sites & Population

Introduction

- 4.1 One of the main considerations of this study is to provide evidence to support the provision of pitches and plots to meet the current and future accommodation needs of Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople. A pitch is an area normally occupied by one household, which typically contains enough space for one or two caravans, but can vary in size⁶. A site is a collection of pitches which form a development exclusively for Gypsies and Travellers. For Travelling Showpeople, the most common descriptions used are a plot for the space occupied by one household and a yard for a collection of plots which are typically exclusively occupied by Travelling Showpeople. Throughout this study the main focus is upon how many extra pitches for Gypsies and Travellers and plots for Travelling Showpeople are required in the study area.
- 4.2 The public and private provision of mainstream housing is also largely mirrored when considering Gypsy and Traveller accommodation. One common form of a Gypsy and Traveller site is the publicly-provided residential site, which is provided by a Local Authority or by a Registered Provider (usually a Housing Association). Pitches on public sites can be obtained through signing up to a waiting list, and the costs of running the sites are met from the rent paid by the licensees (similar to social housing).
- 4.3 The alternative to public residential sites are private residential sites and yards for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople. These result from individuals or families buying areas of land and then obtaining planning permission to live on them. Households can also rent pitches on existing private sites. Therefore, these two forms of accommodation are the equivalent to private ownership and renting for those who live in bricks and mortar housing. Generally, the majority of Travelling Showpeople yards are privately owned and managed.
- 4.4 The Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople population also has other forms of sites due to its mobile nature. Transit sites tend to contain many of the same facilities as a residential site, except that there is a maximum period of residence which can vary from a few days or weeks to a period of months. An alternative to a transit site is an emergency or negotiated stopping place. This type of site also has restrictions on the length of time someone can stay on it, but has much more limited facilities. Both of these two types of site are designed to accommodate, for a temporary period, Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople whilst they travel. A number of authorities also operate an accepted encampments policy where short-term stopovers are tolerated without enforcement action.

⁶ Whilst it has now been withdrawn, Government Guidance on Designing Gypsy and Traveller Sites recommended that, as a general guide, an average family pitch must be capable of accommodating an amenity building, a large trailer and touring caravan, parking space for two vehicles and a small garden area.

- 4.5 Further considerations for the Gypsy and Traveller population are unauthorised developments and encampments. Unauthorised developments occur on land which is owned by the Gypsies and Travellers or with the approval of the land owner, but for which they do not have planning permission to use for residential purposes. Unauthorised encampments occur on land which is not owned by the Gypsies and Travellers.

Sites and Yards in Waverley

- 4.6 In Waverley, at the base date for the GTAA, there is one public site with planning permission for ten pitches; 15 private sites with full planning permission (105 pitches); and four Travelling Showpeople yards (13 plots); two of which are permanent and two are tolerated. There is also one private transit site with 15 pitches. See **Appendix D** for further details.
- 4.7 There are also two unauthorised sites (ten pitches) and two sites which are pending a decision on their planning status (2 pitches).

Figure 6 - Total amount of provision in Waverley (March 2017)

Category	Sites/Yards	Pitches/Plots
Private with permanent planning permission	15	105
Private sites with temporary planning permission	0	0
Public sites	1	10
Public transit provision	0	0
Unauthorised sites	2	10
Sites pending a decision	2	2
Private transit provision	1	15
Travelling Showpeople provision – permanent	2	5
Travelling Showpeople provision – tolerated	2	8

Traveller Caravan Count

- 4.8 Another source of information available on the Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople population is the bi-annual Traveller Caravan Count which is conducted by each Local Authority in England on a specific date in January and July of each year, and reported to DCLG. This is a statistical count of the number of caravans on both authorised and unauthorised sites across England. With effect from July 2013, DCLG has renamed the 'Gypsy and Traveller Caravan Count' as the 'Traveller Caravan Count' due to the inclusion of data on Travelling Showpeople.
- 4.9 As this count is of caravans and not households, it makes it more difficult to interpret for a study such as this because it does not count pitches or resident households. The count is merely a 'snapshot in time' conducted by the Local Authority on a specific day, and any unauthorised sites or encampments which occur on other dates will not be recorded. Likewise, any caravans that are away from sites on the day of the count will not be included. As such it is not considered appropriate to use the outcomes from the Traveller Caravan Count in the calculation of current and future need as the information collected during the site visits is seen as more robust and fit-for-purpose. However, the Traveller Caravan Count data has been used to support the identification of the need to provide for transit provision and this is set out later in this report (see Paragraphs 7.63-64).

5. Stakeholder Engagement

Introduction

- 5.1 To be consistent with the guidance set out in PPTS (2015) and the methodology used in other GTAA studies, ORS undertook a stakeholder engagement programme to complement the information gathered through interviews with members of the Travelling Community. This consultation took the form of telephone interviews which were tailored to the role of the individual.
- 5.2 The aim of these interviews was to provide an understanding of: current provision and possible future need; short-term encampments; transit provision; and cross-border issues.
- 5.3 Three interviews were undertaken with Council Officers from the study area, one with an officer from Surrey County Council, and the Traveller Education Support Worker was informed about the study (although no response was received). ORS also contacted members of the Surrey Gypsy Traveller Communities Forum to let them know the study was taking place.
- 5.4 As part of the stakeholder consultation ORS also met with the ORS met with a representative of the Showman's Guild and approximately 25 members of the Fairhaven Group, who are a group of Travelling Showpeople who own land within Waverley. This meeting was held on the 20th March at Godalming Baptist Church
- 5.5 As stated in the Planning Policy for Traveller Sites, Local Authorities have a duty to cooperate on strategic planning issues that cross administrative boundaries (S.110 Localism Act 2011). In order to explore issues relating to cross boundary working, ORS interviewed a Planning Officer from six neighbouring local authorities:
- » Chichester District Council
 - » East Hampshire District Council
 - » Guildford Borough Council
 - » Hart District Council
 - » Horsham District Council
 - » Mole Valley District Council
 - » Rushmoor Borough Council.
- 5.6 Due to issues surrounding data protection, and in order to protect the anonymity of those who took part, this section presents a summary of the views expressed by interviewees and verbatim comments have not been used.
- 5.7 This section provides the response from key stakeholders and Council Officers from the study area and neighbouring authorities. The views expressed in this section of the report represent a balanced summary of the views expressed by stakeholders, and on the views of the individuals concerned, rather than the official policy of their Council or organisation.
-

Views of Key Stakeholders and Council Officers in Waverley Borough Council

5.8 Four officers were interviewed from Waverley. A summary of the Officers' views and input into the project are set out below.

Accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers

5.9 Officers referred to some issues on the public and private sites. One officer said there is overcrowding on the public site.

5.10 Officers were aware of some breaches of conditions on the private sites. For instance, there are issues on the Lydia Park and Bridge View sites which includes additional residents moving onto the site, the subdividing of plots, the erection of day buildings without permission and some overcrowding on pitches. There is also an unauthorised development opposite the site which is being investigated. The Council will identify the planning harm of the breaches and would assess this on a case by case basis. Each case is different and will have its own set of circumstances; however there is an expectation to take action because they are said to be 'controversial'.

5.11 There is one authorised pitch on Pollingfold, however there are currently nine families living there. An officer explained that the Council has undertaken prosecution procedures and issued injunctions, and there are few options left. The Council cannot take action to remove them because it is assumed they will just reoccupy the land. There has been no attempt to find any alternative site for the residents.

5.12 Officers felt that the Council has not done anything specific to meet that need identified in the previous GTAA, other than addressing speculative planning applications. Officers explained that they have tried to support these applications through the planning system, however, quite often these are isolated sites which are not close to settlements and are not located in sustainable locations, yet they have been given temporary permission insofar as there are no alternative sites.

5.13 Overall, officers agreed that there is currently a lack of knowledge about the accommodation needs of the community, and felt the Council would be better informed following completion of this assessment. One officer suggested that following this study, the Council should attempt to encourage engagement with the community on an on-going basis, but felt that it would be difficult to do this given the current approach has been 'reactive' rather than proactive.

Accommodation for Travelling Showpeople

5.14 During the meeting the representative of the Showman's Guild and members of the 'Fairhaven Group' outlined their argument that there is an historic demand in Waverley to meet the needs of the 26 families who own land in Cranleigh, and who have failed to obtain planning permission, despite several planning applications, and were evicted from the site in 2013.

5.15 They explained that as a result of the recent eviction, the families have been dispersed around the Country, and said that most of them now live in very temporary accommodation, and were concerned that as their families grow, they would be unlikely to find suitable accommodation.

Some are under immediate threat of homelessness, and a small number are on the roadside for 12 months of the year, with no permanent base.

- 5.16 When undertaking fairs the majority currently have to park in lay-bys with their equipment, and they felt this can be dangerous. They also pointed out that they have equipment that needs to be maintained for health and safety, so they need a permanent base.
- 5.17 All of the Showpeople carry out fairs in the Waverley and Surrey areas, and most have family links with Waverley, hence why they want to live in the area. They questioned why they should have to provide evidence of their links to this area, and felt this has put a burden on them insofar as they do not feel the settled community have to go to such lengths in order to live in an area.
- 5.18 They all said they have ‘nowhere else to go’, and certainly cannot afford to buy land elsewhere. As a result they would like to continue to pursue their application to develop the site and live in and Waverley; however, they do not have a planning agent in place at the moment because they do not have any financial resources.
- 5.19 Overall, they felt let down by the Council, and said that they had not understood or acknowledged their situation, although they were glad of the opportunity to take part in this consultation. Ultimately they would be grateful if the Council would consult with them directly, to work towards a solution.

Short-term Roadside Encampments

- 5.20 Short-term encampments usually occur in the summer time and around the time of the Derby and large events like funerals, and tend to be situated in the Farnham area via the A31 route which leads into Basingstoke. The Council will carry out a welfare assessment, and will usually alert the neighbouring authorities that an encampment has been moved on.
- 5.21 They do not record actual numbers, but an officer had dealt with around six encampments over six years, and they are generally moved on quite quickly with the help of the police. There is a transit type of site at New Acres, but officers were unaware of how the allocation system works and how many Travellers use this site for transit purposes. Overall officers felt that additional transit provision is not required.

Cross-border Issues

- 5.22 Officers did not identify any significant cross border issues, and one confirmed that they are not aware of any sites in neighbouring authorities which have an effect on Waverley, and the sites which are on the border are small so should not affect the neighbouring authorities.
- 5.23 One officer felt there needs to be more cooperation with neighbouring authorities around the management of encampments. The officer explained that when encampments occur close to a neighbouring authority, they will often simply move them over the border and felt that this approach could be improved.

Neighbouring Authorities

Chichester District Council

- 5.24 With regard to overall **accommodation need** in Chichester, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:
- 5.25 In partnership with the Coastal West Sussex Authorities, the South Downs National Park Authority (SDNPA) and West Sussex County Council, a Coastal West Sussex Authority Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Assessment (GTAA) was completed by ORS in 2012/13. The GTAA for the period 2012-2027 identified a need for Chichester to provide a total of 59 pitches for Gypsies and Travellers (37 to be provided between 2012-17) and 18 plots for Travelling Showpeople (11 to be provided between 2012-17). The Local Plan period is 2014-29.
- 5.26 Since September 2012 an additional 44 Gypsy and Traveller pitches have been permitted (many of those came through the Development Management process) and 17 additional Travelling Showpeople Plots have been permitted.
- 5.27 There are two public sites in the area and the officer was aware that these sites are permanently fully occupied. There are still some unauthorised sites in the area (including one site in the Birdham Parish which is going to public enquiry in February). The officer felt that this could suggest additional need.
- 5.28 The GTAA recommended that the Coastal West Sussex authorities provide transit provision for Travellers moving through the area. In response, a site with nine transit pitches with toilets and shower facilities has been delivered through a partnership agreement with councils across West Sussex and Sussex Police, and is located on the eastern side of Chichester. The site is managed by the County Council. However, the officer was not aware if there has been any impact on the numbers of encampments in the area, but had been informed that the site is not always well-used.
- 5.29 With regard to the subject of **cross border issues and the Duty to Cooperate**, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:
- 5.30 Aware that the community regularly uses the A27 corridor, hoping to work with neighbouring authorities again, particularly Arun, Adur, Worthing and SDNP. There will be discussions with the neighbouring authorities about commissioning a GTAA update, however as some of these are going through their Local Plan examinations they may not wish to be involved at this time.
- 5.31 The officer was not aware of any cross border issues in relation to the Hampshire authorities, but there could be a need to work with Havant which is situated on the A27 corridor.

East Hampshire District Council

- 5.32 With regard to overall **accommodation need** in East Hampshire, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:
- » East Hampshire took part in a joint Hampshire Authorities GTAA (2013). The study identified a need for 22 additional Gypsy and Traveller pitches and six Travelling

Showpeople Plots in the District over the plan period 2011 – 2028. It also identified 2 transit pitches or outside East Hampshire.

- » The East Hampshire District Local Plan: Joint Core Strategy (JCS), which was adopted in June 2014, sets out the number of permanent pitches, transit sites and plots for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople required in East Hampshire over the plan period 2011 – 2028. This is set out in Policy CP15 ‘Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople’.
- » The East Hampshire Site Allocations Plan omits allocations for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople. It is the Council’s intention to allocate these sites in the Local Plan Part 3.
- » EHDC have commissioned consultants to update the Joint 2013 GTAA in light of the Government’s revised Planning Policy for Travellers. The results of this updated GTAA will set the need for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople in East Hampshire.
- » The officer was aware of a few short-term roadside encampments, but did not think it is a problem.

^{5.33} With regard to the subject of **cross border issues and the Duty to Cooperate**, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » The officer was not aware of any cross-boundary issues.
- » East Hampshire has been working with SDNP and Winchester CC who have joined a consortium of other Hampshire authorities to commission ORS to undertake a revised GTAA. East Hampshire have decided not to take part in this because the area has an adopted policy and may carry out a new Plan in another year, so it would be unproductive to carry out a revised GTAA at this point.
- » The officer was not aware of any other examples of cross border working and felt a planning forum would be useful and would allow officers to meet to discuss Gypsy and Traveller issues.

Guildford Council

^{5.34} With regard to overall **accommodation need** in Guildford, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » Within the Borough there are approximately 38 authorised private pitches and 35 public pitches and 12 Travelling Showpeople plots.
- » There are two unauthorised sites that have been tolerated for over 10 years, there are 11 traveller pitches with temporary planning permissions located within the Green Belt.
- » Since June 2012, the Council has granted permission for 22 new permanent traveller pitches. A further two pitches have been recommended for approval and the permission for these will be formally granted once a section 106 agreement has been signed. Included within this figure are five public pitches which have been built and are now occupied.

- » The draft Traveller Accommodation Assessment (2017) identified a need for 43 pitches in Guildford Borough between 2017 and 2033. Taking into account subsequent planning approvals there remains a need for 25 pitches by June 2017.

^{5.35} With regard to the subject of **cross border issues and the Duty to Cooperate**, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » The officer was aware that neighbouring local authorities should assess the level of need in a consistent and comparable way, and work towards identifying suitable Traveller pitches and plots to meet that identified need.
- » It was felt that the Borough had worked collaboratively with other Surrey authorities and highlighted the Surrey wide methodology (which was used as the basis of the Accommodation Assessment June 2012) and subsequent and more recent meetings.
- » There is said to be a good level of support and knowledge sharing at an officer level.
- » Transit provision is likely to be a cross boundary issue to be addressed. Interviews with travellers undertaken in February 2017 highlighted that three Romany Gypsy households currently living in bricks and mortar housing in Guildford and would like to live on a local authority pitch in Farnham. Guildford Borough Council have included this demand for 3 pitches within their own need figures in the TAA.

Hart District Council

^{5.36} With regard to overall **accommodation need** in Hart, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » In Hart there is one social run site with 20 plots⁷, five private sites with 31 plots and one private transit area with four plots. All plots can take two caravans. There is also one unauthorised caravan moving around the area.
- » There are two Showpersons yards with five plots.
- » Since the previous GTAA Hart has been positively responding to planning applications and has provided an additional six pitches.
- » At the time of interview, the officer explained that Hart had currently undertaken a revised GTAA, the primary reason for completing the Update was the publication of a revised version of Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) in August 2015 which included a change to the definition of Travellers for planning purposes. The study provides an up to date evidence base for their forthcoming Local Plan.
- » There are no issues with short-term encampments.

^{5.37} With regard to the subject of **cross border issues and the Duty to Cooperate**, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

⁷This site was being managed by a private company, but has recently returned to Hampshire County Council.

- » The officer was not aware of any cross border issues and explained that revised Hart GTAA has shown that there is a surplus of sites in the District therefore Hart is adequately meeting its need, and it expects surrounding authorities to do the same.

Horsham District Council

^{5.38} With regard to overall **accommodation need** in Horsham, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » Within the District there are 70 authorised pitches for Gypsies and Travellers and this includes 36 pitches across three publically owned sites. There are 30 unauthorised pitches. There are four plots for Travelling Showpeople. Most of the families have lived in the area for a long time and the sites are largely situated in the south of the district, particularly surrounding the A29 (Stane Street).
- » In November 2015, the Horsham District Planning Framework (HDPF) was adopted, and included how the accommodation needs for Gypsies and Traveller will be met in the period to 2031. This included the allocation of land for 39 additional pitches within the period 2011 – 2017, and as such further work was required to identify and monitor need and to provide for additional pitches beyond the initial five year planning period.
- » The District has since completed an in-house Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessment (GTANA), which identified a need for an additional 93 pitches which includes a backlog of 47 pitches, and the officer confirmed that the figure could be reduced significantly through the development of existing allocations in the HDPF, and the outcome of existing appeals, as 29 out of the 30 unauthorised pitches identified above are either allocated within the HDPF or subject to the appeal process.
- » At the time of undertaking the study the District was consulting on its Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Draft Site Allocations Development Plan Document (DPD) – Preferred Strategy (the consultation period will take place between 21 April 2017 and 2 June 2017). The officer confirmed that Waverley/Mole Valley have been informed about the Consultation.
- » It is thought that the current Allocations Plan will meet up to around ten years' worth of need. However, there are very limited options in terms of land availability and the officer explained that our most sustainable development locations tend to be identified and proposed for bricks and mortar housing which have very high land values.

^{5.39} With regard to the subject of **cross border issues and the Duty to Cooperate**, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » The number of unauthorised encampments has historically been low, and has remained relatively static since the opening of the new transit site in the Chichester District (which is funded by all the west Sussex authorities). Given the limited number of incursions, and the recent implementation of a new transit site, the officer confirmed that the District is not looking to provide any additional provision at this stage.

- » The officer felt transit provision is the main cross border issue, and confirmed that Horsham District Council will continue to have dialogue with neighbouring authorities regarding this issue. The officer confirmed that going forward the District will look to meet its required number of additional residential pitches within the district.
- » The officer explained that Horsham District will usually work with its neighbouring West Sussex authorities, but confirmed that it has recently spoken to Reigate and Banstead regarding their plans.

Mole Valley District Council

^{5.40} With regard to overall **accommodation need** in Mole Valley, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » Within the District there are four public sites with a total of 20 pitches which are managed by Surrey County Council. There are seven privately owned sites which have 13 pitches between them. One of the private sites (4 pitches) is occupied under a temporary planning permission, which was renewed in December 2016 and expires in June 2020.
- » There is an extant planning permission for one additional pitch on a public site, but this has not yet been implemented.
- » There are three yards for Travelling Showpeople.
- » Current Council policy favours smaller sites; on average sites contain one to four pitches, the largest site in the area is a public site with ten pitches.
- » However, due to overcrowding on existing sites the 2013 GTAA identified a need for an additional 44 pitches for Gypsy and Travellers and five for Travelling Showpeople up to 2026. Since then, planning permission has been granted for four additional Gypsy and Traveller pitches (included in the figures stated in para 3.1 & 3.2). There has been no additional provision for Travelling Showpeople.
- » The 2013 TAA study alerted the Council about a number of families that were doubled up, of large families with growing children (including children living at the site which had temporary planning permission). It was based on the pre-2015 definition of gypsy and traveller status and the proportion of households who meet the 2015 PPTS definition is as yet unknown.
- » From 2012 to 2014 the District was working on the 'Housing and Traveller Sites Plan'. This Site Allocation Document was based on a Core Strategy policy commitment to undertake a Green Belt Review to meet identified housing needs and was intended to include allocations for additional provision for gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. However, in 2014 Councillors agreed that greater weight would be given to Green Belt land and therefore the work on Site Allocations Plan was terminated.
- » MVDC is in the process of preparing a new Local Plan for the period 2018-2033. The evidence base will include an updated Travellers Accommodation Assessment which will be prepared during 2017.

- » The area is not a traditional stopping place for Gypsies and Travellers mainly due to the lack of motorway access, other than in the very north of the District. Therefore, there are few instances of short-term roadside encampments; those who do occasionally visit the area tend to move on after one to two nights. The GTAA therefore did not identify a need for a transit site.

^{5.41} With regard to the subject of **cross border issues and the Duty to Cooperate**, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » The officer was not aware of any cross border issues which requires much cooperation with neighbouring boroughs. Surrey authorities can attend the Surrey and Gypsy and Traveller Forum to discuss Gypsy and Traveller issues and this provides on-going dialogue. Informal Officer-level discussions also take place between Mole Valley and neighbouring authorities on issues relating to planning for Gypsies and Travellers.
- » The officer explained that the District would like to be kept informed of what is happening in neighbouring boroughs and acknowledged that they are all in a similar position insofar as the high level of Green Belt land makes it difficult to meet the additional needs of Gypsies and Travellers.

Rushmoor Borough Council

^{5.42} With regard to overall **accommodation need** in Rushmoor, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » There are no permanent pitches or transit sites for Gypsies and Travellers in Rushmoor.
- » The GTAA originally indicated a need of one pitch arising from a housed Traveller wanting a pitch in a neighbouring authority, across a County boundary. Based on the change to the planning definition Rushmoor Borough Council has taken the view that there is no identified need for Gypsies and Travellers.
- » There are ten plots for Travelling Showpeople spread over four yards in the Borough. The GTAA identified a need for two additional plots. The Council has subsequently engaged with the Travelling Showpeople families resident in the Borough to understand further their accommodation needs, in order to inform the preparation of the new Rushmoor Local Plan, including the allocation of plots for Travelling Showpeople as determined through the additional survey work.
- » The Council now have two allocations for plots for Travelling Showpeople in the Draft Submission Rushmoor Local Plan. This is to be considered by the Council's Cabinet on 4th April 2017 (under item 7), then Council on 20th April 2017, before being published for consultation on 9th June: The officer felt that this demonstrates Rushmoor's commitment in planning to meet the needs of the TS in the Borough.
- » The officer explained that because the Borough is a small urban authority with little available land, there are very limited options for identifying land to meet future need.

5.43 With regard to the subject of cross border issues and the Duty to Cooperate, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » The officer referred to the Cabinet report on the Guildford Local Plan which confirmed that Guildford Borough Council is *committed to meeting its identified needs for travellers within its administrative boundary in the first instance*, which is important to Rushmoor Borough mindful of the fact that even though our Boroughs adjoin, we are cited in a different County⁸.
- » It confirmed that Rushmoor Borough Council is supportive of the strategy set out in the Guildford Borough Proposed Submission Local Plan: strategy and sites document of June 2016.
- » Due to the tightly constrained urban nature of Rushmoor, and the absence of any existing sites for Gypsies and Travellers in the Borough, Rushmoor will not be able to assist with meeting need for traveller accommodation from other areas, should they be unable to meet that need within their own boundaries in the first instance.

⁸ <http://www.rushmoor.gov.uk/CHttpHandler.ashx?id=16403&p=0>

6. Survey of Travelling Communities

Interviews with Gypsies and Travellers

- 6.1 One of the major components of this study was a detailed survey of the Gypsy and Traveller population living in the study area, and also efforts to engage with the bricks and mortar community.
- 6.2 Through the desk-based research and stakeholder interviews ORS identified one public site, 15 private sites with planning permission, six private sites with temporary planning permission, two unauthorised sites, two sites pending planning decisions and four Travelling Showperson's yards (two of which had full permission and two of which were tolerated for planning purposes). The interviews were completed in March and April 2017 and up to three attempts were made to interview each household where they were not present when interviewers visited. The table below sets out the number of pitches, the number of interviews that were completed, and the reasons why interviews were not completed.

Figure 7 - Sites and yards visited in Waverley

Public Sites	Pitches/Plots	Interviews	Reasons for not completing interviews
The Willows, Runfold	10	7	1 x refusal, 1 x vacant, 1 x no contact possible
Private Sites			
7 Plaistow Road (adjacent Burnt Hill)	3	1	7 Plaistow Road (adjacent Burnt Hill)
9 Burnt Hill, Dunsfold	10	9	1 x vacant - used as storage/parking
Bridge View, Old Bridge Road	8	3	5 x unimplemented pitches
Hill Tops, Cranleigh	3	0	1 x refusal, 1 x vacant, 1 x no contact possible
Land east of A31 River Lane, Farnham	1	0	1 x vacant
Land south of The Kiln Hall, Badshot Lea	1	1	-
Land west of Badshot Farm Lane, Badshot	1	1	-
Land west of Knowle Lane, Cranleigh	1	0	1 x refusal
Lydia Park, Cranleigh,	20	10	1 x refusal, 9 x no contact possible
Monkton Farm, Monkton Lane	1	0	1 x no contact possible
New Acres, Cranleigh	35	0	Owner refused access to the site
Pollingfold Place, Ellens Green	1	1	-

Rodborough Hill Park, Milford	17	5	12 x non-Travellers - 1 x in-migration - room to expand by reconfiguring existing pitches
Rushett Common, Bramley	2	2	-
Tongham Road, Runfold	1	0	1 x no contact possible
Temporary Sites			
None	-	-	-
Unauthorised Sites			
Pollingfold Place, Ellens Green	8	8	-
Rosewood, Brook	2	2	-
Sites with a planning decision pending			
Land Adjacent To 1 East View Cottages, Alfold	1	0	1 x no contact possible
Old Mill Farm, Thursley	1	0	1 x no contact possible
Travelling Showpeople Yards			
Burnt Hill, Dunsfold	4	3	1 x no contact possible
Old Brickyard, Hambledon	1	1	-
Cuckoo Corner, Petworth Road	1	1	-
Plaistow Road	7	0	7 x no contact possible
TOTAL	140	55	

Interviews with Gypsies and Travellers in Bricks and Mortar

^{6.3} Despite all of the efforts that were made it was only possible to interview 2 households living in bricks and mortar. All stated that they were happy to stay in housing and did not wish to move to a site.

7. Current and Future Pitch Provision

Introduction

- 7.1 This section focuses on the additional pitch provision which is needed in the study area currently and to 2032. This includes both current unmet need and need which is likely to arise in the future⁹. This time period allows for robust forecasts of the requirements for future provision, based upon the evidence contained within this study and also secondary data sources. Whilst the difficulty in making accurate assessments beyond 5 years has been highlighted in previous studies, the approach taken in this study to estimate new household formation has been accepted by Planning Inspectors as the most appropriate methodology to use.
- 7.2 We would note that this section is based upon a combination of the on-site surveys, planning records and stakeholder interviews. In many cases, the survey data is not used in isolation, but instead is used to validate information from planning records or other sources.
- 7.3 This section concentrates not only upon the total additional provision which is required in the area, but also whether there is a need for any transit sites and/or emergency stopping place provision.

Planning Definition

- 7.4 As well as assessing housing need, the revised version of PPTS (2015) requires a GTAA to determine whether households living on sites, yards, encampments and in bricks and mortar fall within the planning definition of a Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showperson. Only households that fall within the planning definition, and those who may meet the planning definition (households where an interview was not completed), will have their housing needs assessed separately from the wider population in the GTAA. The planning definition now excludes those households who have ceased to travel permanently.

New Household Formation Rates

- 7.5 Nationally, a household formation and growth rate of 3.00% net per annum has been commonly assumed and widely used in local Gypsy and Traveller assessments, even though there is no statistical evidence of households growing so quickly. The result has been to inflate both national and local requirements for additional pitches unrealistically. In this context, ORS has prepared a *Technical Note on Household Formation and Growth Rates* (2015). The main conclusions are set out here and the full paper is in **Appendix F**.
- 7.6 Those seeking to provide evidence of high annual net household growth rates for Gypsies and Travellers have sometimes sought to rely on increases in the number of caravans, as reflected in

⁹See Paragraphs 3.32 and 3.33 for details of components on current and future need.

caravan counts. However, caravan count data is unreliable and erratic – so the only robust way to project future population and household growth is through demographic analysis.

- 7.7 The Technical Note concludes that in fact, the growth in the national Gypsy and Traveller population may be as low as 1.25% per annum – much less than the 3.00% per annum often assumed, but still greater than in the settled community. Even using extreme and unrealistic assumptions, it is hard to find evidence that net Gypsy and Traveller population and household growth rates are above 2.00% per annum nationally.
- 7.8 The often assumed 3.00% per annum net household growth rate is unrealistic and would require clear statistical evidence before being used for planning purposes. In practice, the best available evidence supports a national net household growth rate of 1.50% per annum for Gypsies and Travellers (in addition research by ORS has identified a national growth rate of 1.00% for Travelling Showpeople) and this has also been adjusted locally based on site demographics.
- 7.9 This view has been supported by Planning Inspectors in a number of Decision Notices. The most recent was in relation to an appeal in Doncaster that was issued in November 2016 (Ref: APP/F4410/W/15/3133490) where the agent acting on behalf of the appellant claimed that a rate closer to 3.00% should be used. The Inspector concluded:

In assessing need account also needs to be taken of likely household growth over the coming years. In determining an annual household growth rate the Council relies on the work of Opinions Research Services (ORS), part of Swansea University. ORS's research considers migration, population profiles, births & fertility rates, death rates, household size data and household dissolution rates to determine average household growth rates for gypsies and travellers. The findings indicate that the average annual growth rate is in the order of 1.50% but that a 2.50% figure could be used if local data suggest a relatively youthful population. As the Council has found a strong correlation between Doncaster's gypsy and traveller population age profile and the national picture, a 1.50% annual household growth rate has been used in its 2016 GTANA. Given the rigour of ORS's research and the Council's application of its findings to the local area I accept that a 1.50% figure is justified in the case of Doncaster.

- 7.10 In addition, the Technical Note has recently been accepted as a robust academic evidence base and has been published by the Social Research Association in its journal Social Research Practice. The overall purpose of the journal is to encourage and promote high standards of social research for public benefit. It aims to encourage methodological development by giving practitioners the space and the incentive to share their knowledge – see link below.

<http://the-sra.org.uk/journal-social-research-practice/>

- 7.11 ORS assessments take full account of the net local household growth rate per annum for each local authority, calculated on the basis of demographic evidence from the site surveys, and the 'baseline' includes all current authorised households, all households identified as in current need (including concealed households, movement from bricks and mortar and those on waiting lists not currently living on a pitch or plot), as well as households living on tolerated unauthorised pitches or plots who are not included as current need. The assessments of future need also take account of modelling projections based on birth and death rates, and in-/out-migration.

- 7.12 Overall, the household growth rate used for the assessment of future needs has been informed by local evidence. This demographic evidence has been used to adjust the national growth rate of 1.50% up or down based on the proportion of those aged under 18 (by travelling status).
- 7.13 In certain circumstances where the numbers of households and children are low it may not be appropriate to apply a percentage rate for new household formation. In these cases a judgement will be made on likely new household formation based on the age and gender of the children. This will be based on the assumption that 50% of likely households to form will stay in the area. This is based on evidence from other GTAA's that ORS have completed across England and Wales.
- 7.14 The table below sets out the formation rates that have been used for this GTAA. The ORS national figures of 1.50% have been used for unknown Gypsies and Travellers and 1.00% for unknown Travelling Showpeople.

Figure 8 – New household formation rates used

Gypsies & Travellers		Travelling Showpeople	
Meet Planning Definition	Do Not Meet Planning Definition	Meet Planning Definition	Do Not Meet Planning Definition
1.70% (40% aged under 18)	0.90% (22% aged under 18)	From demographics	From demographics

Breakdown by 5 Year Bands

- 7.15 In addition to tables which set out the overall need for Gypsies and Travellers, the overall need has also been broken down by 5 year bands as required by PPTS (2015). The way that this is calculated is by including all current need (from unauthorised pitches, pitches with temporary planning permission, concealed and doubled-up households, 5 year need from older teenage children, and net movement from bricks and mortar) in the first 5 years. In addition the total net new household formation is split across the 5 year bands based on the compound rate of growth that was applied – as opposed to being spread evenly.

Applying the Planning Definition

- 7.16 The outcomes from the questions in the household survey on travelling were used to determine the status of each household against the planning definition in PPTS (2015). This assessment was based on the verbal responses to the questions given to interviewers as it is understood that oral evidence is capable of being sufficient when determining whether households meet the planning definition. Only those households that meet the planning definition, in that they were able to demonstrate that they travel for work purposes, and stay away from their usual place of residence when doing so – or that they have ceased to travel temporarily due to education, ill health or old age, form the components of need that will form the baseline of need in the GTAA. Households where an interview was not completed who *may* meet the planning definition have also been included as a potential additional component of need from unknown households.
- 7.17 Information that was sought from households where an interview was completed allowed each household to be assessed against the planning definition of a Traveller. This included information on whether households have ever travelled; why they have stopped travelling; the reasons that

they travel; and whether they plan to travel again in the future. The table below sets out the planning status of households living on the public site in Waverley.

Figure 9 – Planning status of households in Waverley

Site Status	Meets Planning Definition	Unknown	Does Not Meet Planning Definition
Gypsies and Travellers			
Public Sites	3	2	4
Private Sites	23	52	10
Unauthorised Sites	0	8	2
Sites pending a decision	0	2	0
Sub-Total	26	64	16
Travelling Showpeople			
Private Yards	2	1	2
Tolerated Yards	1	7	0
Sub-Total	3	8	2
TOTAL	29	72	18

7.18 Figure 9 shows that for Gypsies and Travellers 26 households and for Travelling Showpeople, three households meet the planning definition of a Traveller in that they were able to provide information demonstrating that they travel for work purposes and stay away from their usual place of residence, or have ceased to travel temporarily. A total of 16 Gypsy and Traveller households and two Travelling Showpeople households do not meet the planning definition as they were not able to demonstrate that they travel away from their usual place of residence for the purpose of work, or that they have ceased to travel temporarily due to children in education, ill health or old age. Some did travel for cultural reasons to visit fairs, relatives or friends, and others had ceased to travel permanently – these households did not meet the planning definition.

7.19 The number of households where an interview was not possible are recorded as unknown. The reasons for this included households that refused to be interviewed and households that were not present during the fieldwork period – despite up to three visits (and four visits in some cases).

Bricks and Mortar Interviews

7.20 Whilst the 2011 Census identified 38 households living in bricks and mortar in Waverley who identified as a Gypsy or Irish Traveller, it was only possible to complete two interviews, despite all of the efforts that were made (see Paragraphs 3.14-17). Neither of these households met the planning definition. Both households stated that they live in bricks and mortar through choice and have no plans or wishes to move to a site.

Pitch Needs – Gypsies and Travellers that meet the Planning Definition

7.21 There were 26 households that met the planning definition. Analysis of the household interviews indicated that there is current need for seven additional pitches as a result of concealed or

doubled up households or adults, eight additional pitches for older teenage children in need of a pitch of their own in the next 5 years and one additional pitch for in-migration. The household demographics suggest that a new household formation rate of 1.7% should be used. This gives a total of 12 additional pitches through new household formation over the 15 year GTAA period to 2032 (from a maximum of 42 households). This is reduced by 1 pitch due to supply from 1 vacant pitch on the public site.

7.22 Therefore, the overall level of additional need for those households who meet the planning definition of a Gypsy or Traveller is for **27 additional pitches** over the 15 year GTAA period.

Figure 10 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Waverley that meet the Planning Definition (2017-32)

Gypsies and Travellers - Meeting Planning Definition	Pitches
Supply of Pitches	
Additional supply from vacant public and private pitches	1
Additional supply from pitches on new sites	0
Pitches vacated by households moving to bricks and mortar	0
Pitches vacated by households moving away from the study area	0
Total Supply	1
Current Need	
Households on unauthorised developments	0
Households on unauthorised encampments	0
Concealed households/Doubling-up/Over-crowding	7
Movement from bricks and mortar	0
Households on waiting lists for public sites	0
Total Current Need	7
Future Need	
5 year need from older teenage children	8
Households on sites with temporary planning permission	0
In-migration	1
New household formation	12
<i>(Base number of households 42 and formation rate 1.70%)¹⁰</i>	
Total Future Needs	21
Net Pitch Need = (Current and Future Need – Total Supply)	27

¹⁰ See Paragraph 7.10 for the components that make up the household base.

Figure 11 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Waverley that meet the Planning Definition by 5 year periods

Years	0-5	6-10	11-15	Total
	2017-22	2022-27	2027-32	
	19	4	4	27

Pitch Needs – Unknown Gypsies and Travellers

- 7.23 Whilst it was not possible to determine the travelling status of a total of 64 households as they either refused to be interviewed, or were not on site at the time of the fieldwork, the needs of these households still need to be recognised by the GTAA as they are believed to be ethnic Gypsies and Travellers and *may* meet the planning definition.
- 7.24 ORS are of the opinion that it would not be appropriate when producing a robust assessment of need to make any firm assumptions about whether or not households where an interview was not completed meet the planning definition based on the outcomes of households in that local authority where an interview was completed.
- 7.25 However, data that has been collected from over 2,500 household interviews that have been completed by ORS since the changes to PPTS in 2015 suggests that nationally approximately 10% of households that have been interviewed meet the planning definition.
- 7.26 This would suggest that it is likely that only a small proportion of the potential need identified from these households will need conditioned Gypsy and Traveller pitches, and that the needs of the majority will need to be addressed through other means.
- 7.27 Should further information be made available to the Council that will allow for the planning definition to be applied to the unknown households, the overall level of need could rise by up to eight from unauthorised pitches, and by up to 16 pitches from new household formation (this uses a base of the 64 households and a net growth rate of 1.50%¹¹). Therefore, additional need could increase by up to a further 24 pitches, plus any concealed adult households or 5 year need arising from older teenagers living in these households (if all 64 unknown pitches are deemed to meet the planning definition). However, as an illustration, if the ORS national average of 10% were to be applied this could be as few as two additional pitches.
- 7.28 Whilst the proportion of households in Waverley that meet the planning definition (62%) is higher than 10% this is based on a small household base. Therefore, it is felt that it would be more appropriate to consider the more statistically robust national figure. However if the locally derived proportion were to be applied it could result in need for up to 15 additional pitches from unknown households.
- 7.29 Tables setting out the components of need for unknown households can be found in **Appendix B**.

¹¹The ORS *Technical Note on Population and Household Growth (2015)* has identified a national growth rate of 1.50% for Gypsies and Travellers which has been applied in the absence of further demographic information about these households.

Pitch Needs - Gypsies and Travellers that do not meet the Planning Definition

- 7.30 It is not now a requirement for a GTAA to include an assessment of need for households that do not meet the planning definition. However this assessment is included for illustrative purposes and to provide the Council with information on levels of need that will have to be addressed through the SHMA and through separate Local Plan policies. On this basis, it is evident that whilst the needs of the 16 households who do not meet the planning definition will represent only a very small proportion of the overall housing need, the Council will still need to ensure that arrangements are in place to properly address these needs – especially as many identified as Romany Gypsies or Irish Travellers and may claim that the Council should meet their housing needs through culturally appropriate housing.
- 7.31 There is need for 10 additional pitches for households that do not meet the planning definition and this is made up of 2 households on unauthorised pitches, 1 from in-migration, and 7 from new household formation derived from the household demographics. A summary of this need for households that do not meet the planning definition can be found in **Appendix C**.

Travelling Showpeople Needs

Plot Needs – Travelling Showpeople that meet the Planning Definition

- 7.32 The three households that were interviewed that met the planning definition were found on two private yards and a tolerated yard. Analysis of the household interviews indicated that there is a need for two additional plots as a result of new household formation. Based on the ages of the children it is estimated that 1 pitch will be needed in years 6-10 of the GTAA period and 1 will be needed in years 11-15. There was no other current or future need identified from these households.
- 7.33 Therefore, the overall level of additional need for those households who meet the planning definition of a Travelling Showperson is for **two additional plots** over the 15 year GTAA period.

Figure 12 – Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in Waverley that meet the Planning Definition (2017 - 32)

Travelling Showpeople - Meeting Planning Definition	Plots
Supply of Plots	
Additional supply from vacant public and private plots	0
Additional supply from plots on new yards	0
Plots vacated by households moving to bricks and mortar	0
Plots vacated by households moving away from the study area	0
Total Supply	0
Current Need	
Households on unauthorised developments	0
Households on unauthorised encampments	0
Concealed households/Doubling-up/Over-crowding	0
Movement from bricks and mortar	0
Households on waiting lists for public yards	0

Total Current Need	0
Future Need	
5 year need from older teenage children	0
Households on yards with temporary planning permission	0
In-migration	0
New household formation	2
<i>(Formation from demographics)</i>	
Total Future Needs	2
Net Plot Need = (Current and Future Need – Total Supply)	2

Figure 13– Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in Waverley that meet the Planning Definition by 5 year periods

Years	0-5	6-10	11-15	
	2017-22	2022-27	2027-32	Total
	0	1	1	2

Plot Needs – Unknown Travelling Showpeople

- 7.34 It was not possible to determine the travelling status of a total of eight households as they were not on site at the time of the fieldwork. However, the needs of these households still need to be recognised by the GTAA as they are believed to be Travelling Showpeople and may meet the planning definition as defined in PPTS.
- 7.35 Should further information be available to the Council that will allow for the planning definition to be applied the overall level of need could rise by up to 1 additional plot from new household formation (this uses a base of the 3 households and a net growth rate of 1.00%¹²). Therefore additional need could increase by up to a further additional one plot, plus any concealed adult households or five year need arising from older teenagers living in these households. If the ORS national average of 70% were applied this could result in a need for one additional plot. Tables setting out the components of need for unknown households can be found in Appendix B.

Plot Needs – Travelling Showpeople that do not meet the Planning Definition

- 7.36 It is not now a requirement for a GTAA to include an assessment of need for households that do not meet the planning definition. However this assessment is included for illustrative purposes and to provide the Council with information on levels of need that will have to be addressed through the SHMA and through separate Local Plan policies. On this basis, it is evident that whilst the needs of the two households who do not meet the planning definition will represent only a very small proportion of the overall housing need, the Council will still need to ensure that arrangements are in place to properly address these needs.
- 7.37 There is no current or future need for additional plots identified from the households that do not meet the planning definition. A summary of this need can be found in **Appendix C**.

¹² The ORS *Technical Note on Population and Household Growth (2015)* has identified a national growth rate of 1.00% for Travelling Showpeople which has been applied in the absence of further demographic information about these households.

Plot Needs – Cranleigh Showpeople

- 7.38 In addition the Council need to be aware of potential need arising from a group of Travelling Showpeople who purchased land in Cranleigh and have sought unsuccessfully to this date to get planning consent as a result of the land being deemed unsuitable for this type and scale of development.
- 7.39 Following a meeting between ORS and some of the former residents and owners of the land at Cranleigh it was identified that up to 26 households are claiming a need for additional plots in Waverley to meet their needs. It is believed that many of these households may now living on yards in other local authorities.
- 7.40 ORS have completed GTAA's in the majority of the areas where it is believed that former residents of the land at Cranleigh are now living. Whilst it was not possible to be certain, it is believed that a number of the households were interviewed during these other studies. The household interviews completed by ORS include questions on whether households are planning to move and whether they own or know of land that could be developed as a new yard. During the course of these interviews no households stated that they were seeking to move to Waverley, or that they own or know of land in Waverley that could be developed as a new yard. Any current or future accommodation needs from these households have therefore been included in the areas where other GTAA Studies has been completed.
- 7.41 Therefore whilst the majority of households that attended the meeting with ORS claimed a need for additional plots on Waverley, there has been no other evidence of need from in-migration from households living outside of Waverley who are believed to be owners of the land at Cranleigh. This suggests that this GTAA should not identify a specific level of need for additional plots for Travelling Showpeople in Waverley as this could amount to double counting of need.
- 7.42 However it is recommended that the Council actively engage with representatives from this group of Showmen to try and identify a practical solution to meeting some or all of the desire to move back to Waverley that was evident from the outcomes of the meeting with ORS.

Transit Requirements

- 7.43 When determining the potential need for transit provision the assessment has looked at data from the DCLG Caravan Count, the outcomes of the stakeholder interviews and records on numbers of unauthorised encampments, and the potential wider issues related to changes made to PPTS in 2015.

DCLG Traveller Caravan Count

- 7.44 Whilst it is considered to be a comprehensive national dataset on numbers of authorised and unauthorised caravans across England, it is acknowledged that the Traveller Caravan Count is a count of caravans and not households. It also does not record the reasons for unauthorised caravans. This makes it very difficult to interpret in relation to assessing future need because it does not count pitches or resident households. The count is also only a twice yearly (January and July) 'snapshot in time' conducted by local authorities on a specific day, and any caravans on unauthorised sites or encampments which occur on other dates are not recorded. Likewise any caravans that are away from sites on the day of the count are not included. As such it is not

considered appropriate to use the outcomes from the Traveller Caravan Count in the assessment of future transit provision. It does however provide valuable historic and trend data on whether there are instances of unauthorised caravans in local authority areas.

7.45 Data from the Caravan Count shows that there have been no non-tolerated unauthorised caravans on land not owned by Travellers recorded in the study area in recent years.

Stakeholder Interviews and Local Data

7.46 Information from the stakeholder interviews also identified that there are low levels of unauthorised encampments in Waverley, and that the majority were short-term visiting family or friends, transient and simply passing through.

7.47 There is currently no public transit provision, and although there are 15 transit pitches on a private site, the occupation levels are unknown.

Potential Implications of PPTS (2015)

7.48 It has been suggested by a number of groups representing the Travelling Community that there will need to be an increase in transit provision across the country as a result of changes to PPTS leading to more households travelling. This may well be the case but it will take some time for any changes to materialise. As such the use of historic evidence to make an assessment of future transit need is not recommended at this time. Any recommendation for future transit provision will need to make use of a robust post-PPTS (2015) evidence base and there has not been sufficient time yet for this to happen at this point in time.

Transit Recommendations

7.49 Given very low numbers of caravans on unauthorised encampments it is recommended that there is no need to provide any new transit pitches in Waverley at the present time. However it is recommended that the situation relating to levels of unauthorised encampments should be monitored whilst any potential changes associated with PPTS (2015) develop.

7.50 As well as information on the size and duration of the encampments, this monitoring should also seek to gather information from residents on the reasons for their stay in Waverley; whether they have a permanent base or where they have travelled from; and whether they have any need or preference to settle permanently in Waverley; and whether their travelling is a result of changes to PPTS (2015). This information could be collected as part of a Welfare Assessment (or equivalent).

7.51 A review of the evidence base relating to unauthorised encampments, including the monitoring referred to above, should be undertaken once there is a robust evidence base following the changes to PPTS in 2015. This will establish whether there is a need for investment in any formal transit sites or emergency stopping places, or whether a managed approach is preferable.

7.52 In the short-term the Council should consider the use of existing management arrangements for dealing with unauthorised encampments and could also consider the use of Negotiated Stopping Agreements, as opposed to taking forward an infrastructure-based approach.

- 7.53 The term ‘negotiated stopping’ is used to describe agreed short term provision for Gypsy and Traveller caravans. It does not describe permanent ‘built’ transit sites but negotiated agreements which allow caravans to be sited on suitable specific pieces of ground for an agreed and limited period of time, with the provision of limited services such as water, waste disposal and toilets. Agreements are made between the authority and the (temporary) residents regarding expectations on both sides.
- 7.54 Temporary stopping places can be made available at times of increased demand due to fairs or cultural celebrations that are attended by Gypsies and Travellers. A charge may be levied as determined by the local authority although they only need to provide basic facilities including: a cold water supply; portaloos; sewerage disposal point and refuse disposal facilities.

8. Conclusions

- 8.1 This study provides an robust evidence base to enable the Council to assess the housing needs of the Travelling Community for the period 2017-2032, as well as complying with their requirements towards Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople under the Housing Act 1985, the National Planning Policy Framework (2012), Planning Practice Guidance (2014), PPTS (2015), and the Housing and Planning Act (2016). It also provides the evidence base which can be used to support Local Plan policies.

Gypsies and Travellers

- 8.2 In summary there is a need for **27 additional pitches** in Waverley over the GTAA period to 2032 for Gypsy and Traveller households that meet the planning definition; a need for up to 24 additional pitches for unknown Gypsy and Traveller households that may meet the planning definition; and a need for 10 additional pitches for Gypsy and Traveller households who do not meet the planning definition. If the potential need from 90% of unknown households is added to this the total need for non-Travelling households could rise to 32 additional pitches. A breakdown of where this need should be addressed is set out in the table below.

Figure 14 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households broken down by potential delivery method

Site Status	Gypsy and Traveller Policy	SHMA Housing Policy	TOTAL
Meet Planning Definition (+10% Unknown)	29 (27+2)	0	29
Not meeting Planning Definition (+90% Unknown)	0	32 (10+22)	32
TOTAL	29	32	61

Travelling Showpeople

- 8.3 In summary there is a need for **2 additional plots** in Waverley over the GTAA period to 2032 for Travelling Showpeople households that meet the planning definition; a need for up to 1 additional plot for unknown Travelling Showpeople households that may meet the planning definition; and a need for no additional pitches for Travelling Showpeople households who do not meet the planning definition.

Transit Provision

- 8.4 It is recommended that that there is no need for any new transit pitches in Waverley at the present time and that the situation relating to levels of unauthorised encampments should be continually monitored whilst any potential changes associated with PPTS (2015) develop.
- 8.5 A review of the evidence base relating to unauthorised encampments should be undertaken once there is a robust evidence base following the changes to PPTS in 2015. This will establish whether there is a need for investment in more formal transit sites or emergency stopping places.

- 8.6 In the short-term the Council should consider the use of short-term toleration or negotiated stopping agreements to deal with any encampments, as opposed to taking forward an infrastructure-based approach.

List of Figures

Figure 1 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Waverley (2017-2032)	8
Figure 2– Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Waverley that meet the Planning Definition by 5 year periods	8
Figure 3– Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in Waverley that meet the Planning Definition by 5 year periods	9
Figure 4 – Friends, Families and Traveller Leaflet.....	15
Figure 5 – Bricks and Mortar Advert.....	16
Figure 6 - Total amount of provision in Waverley (March 2017).....	24
Figure 7 - Sites and yards visited in Waverley	35
Figure 8 – New household formation rates used	39
Figure 9 – Planning status of households in Waverley	40
Figure 10 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Waverley that meet the Planning Definition (2017-32)	41
Figure 11 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Waverley that meet the Planning Definition by 5 year periods	42
Figure 12 – Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in Waverley that meet the Planning Definition (2017 -32)	43
Figure 13– Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in Waverley that meet the Planning Definition by 5 year periods.....	44
Figure 14 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households broken down by potential delivery method	48
Figure 15 - Additional need for unknown Gypsy and Traveller households in Waverley 2017- 32	53
Figure 16 – Additional need for unknown Gypsy and Traveller households in Waverley by 5 Year Periods.....	53
Figure 17 - Additional need for unknown Travelling Showpeople households in Waverley 2017-32.....	54
Figure 18– Additional need for unknown Travelling Showpeople households in Waverley by 5 Year Periods.....	54
Figure 19 - Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Waverley that do not meet the Planning Definition 2017-32	55
Figure 20 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Waverley that do not meet the Planning Definition by 5 Year Periods.....	55
Figure 21 - Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in Waverley that do not meet the planning definition 2017-32	56
Figure 22 – Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in Waverley that do not meet the Planning Definition by 5 Year Periods.....	56

Appendix A: Glossary of Terms

Amenity block/shed	A building where basic plumbing amenities (bath/shower, WC, sink) are provided.
Bricks and mortar	Mainstream housing.
Caravan	Mobile living vehicle used by Gypsies and Travellers. Also referred to as trailers.
Chalet	A single storey residential unit which can be dismantled. Sometimes referred to as mobile homes.
Concealed household	Households, living within other households, who are unable to set up separate family units.
Doubling-Up	Where there are more than the permitted number of caravans on a pitch or plot.
Emergency Stopping Place	A temporary site with limited facilities to be occupied by Gypsies and Travellers while they travel.
Green Belt	A land use designation used to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas; prevent neighbouring towns from merging into one another; assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment; preserve the setting and special character of historic towns; and assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land.
Household formation	The process where individuals form separate households. This is normally through adult children setting up their own household.
In-migration	Movement of households into a region or community
Local Plans	Local Authority spatial planning documents that can include specific policies and/or site allocations for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople.
Out-migration	Movement from one region or community in order to settle in another.
Personal planning permission	A private site where the planning permission specifies who can occupy the site and doesn't allow transfer of ownership.
Pitch/plot	Area of land on a site/development generally home to one household. Can be varying sizes and have varying caravan numbers. Pitches refer to Gypsy and Traveller sites and Plots to Travelling Showpeople yards.
Private site	An authorised site owned privately. Can be owner-occupied, rented or a mixture of owner-occupied and rented pitches.
Site	An area of land on which Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople are accommodated in caravans/chalets/vehicles. Can contain one or

	multiple pitches/plots.
Social/Public/Council Site	An authorised site owned by either the local authority or a Registered Housing Provider.
Temporary planning permission	A private site with planning permission for a fixed period of time.
Tolerated site/yard	Long-term tolerated sites or yards where enforcement action is not expedient and a certificate of lawful use would be granted if sought.
Transit provision	Site intended for short stays and containing a range of facilities. There is normally a limit on the length of time residents can stay.
Unauthorised Development	Caravans on land owned by Gypsies and Travellers and without planning permission.
Unauthorised Encampment	Caravans on land not owned by Gypsies and Travellers and without planning permission.
Waiting list	Record held by the local authority or site managers of applications to live on a site.
Yard	A name often used by Travelling Showpeople to refer to a site.

Appendix B: Unknown Households

Figure 15 - Additional need for unknown Gypsy and Traveller households in Waverley 2017-32

Gypsies and Travellers - Unknown	Pitches
Supply of Pitches	
Additional supply from vacant public and private pitches	0
Additional supply from pitches on new sites	0
Pitches vacated by households moving to bricks and mortar	0
Pitches vacated by households moving away from the study area	0
Total Supply	0
Current Need	
Households on unauthorised developments	8
Households on unauthorised encampments	0
Concealed households/Doubling-up/Over-crowding	0
Movement from bricks and mortar	0
Households on waiting lists for public sites	0
Total Current Need	8
Future Need	
5 year need from older teenage children	0
Households on sites with temporary planning permission	0
In-migration	0
New household formation	16
<i>(Base number of households 64 and formation rate 1.50%)</i>	
Total Future Needs	16
Net Pitch Need = (Current and Future Need – Total Supply)	24

Figure 16 – Additional need for unknown Gypsy and Traveller households in Waverley by 5 Year Periods

Years	0-5	6-10	11-15	Total
	2017-22	2022-27	2027-32	
	13	5	6	24

Figure 17 - Additional need for unknown Travelling Showpeople households in Waverley 2017-32

Travelling Showpeople - Unknown	Plots
Supply of Plots	
Additional supply from vacant public and private plots	0
Additional supply from pitches on new yards	0
Pitches vacated by households moving to bricks and mortar	0
Pitches vacated by households moving away from the study area	0
Total Supply	0
Current Need	
Households on unauthorised developments	0
Households on unauthorised encampments	0
Concealed households/Doubling-up/Over-crowding	0
Movement from bricks and mortar	0
Households on waiting lists for public yards	0
Total Current Need	0
Future Need	
5 year need from older teenage children	0
Households on yards with temporary planning permission	0
In-migration	0
New household formation	1
<i>(Base number of households 8 and formation rate 1.00%)</i>	
Total Future Needs	1
Net Plot Need = (Current and Future Need – Total Supply)	1

Figure 18– Additional need for unknown Travelling Showpeople households in Waverley by 5 Year Periods

Years	0-5	6-10	11-15	Total
	2017-22	2022-27	2027-32	
	0	1	0	1

Appendix C: Households that do not meet the Planning Definition

Figure 19 - Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Waverley that do not meet the Planning Definition 2017-32

Gypsies and Travellers - Not Meeting Planning Definition	Pitches
Supply of Pitches	
Additional supply from vacant public and private pitches	0
Additional supply from pitches on new sites	0
Pitches vacated by households moving to bricks and mortar	0
Pitches vacated by households moving away from the study area	0
Total Supply	0
Current Need	
Households on unauthorised developments	2
Households on unauthorised encampments	0
Concealed households/Doubling-up/Over-crowding	0
Movement from bricks and mortar	0
Households on waiting lists for public sites	0
Total Current Need	2
Future Need	
5 year need from older teenage children	0
Households on sites with temporary planning permission	0
In-migration	1
New household formation	7
<i>(Formation from site demographics)</i>	
Total Future Needs	8
Net Pitch Need = (Current and Future Need – Total Supply)	10

Figure 20 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Waverley that do not meet the Planning Definition by 5 Year Periods

Years	0-5	6-10	11-15	Total
	2017-22	2022-27	2027-32	
	5	2	3	10

Figure 21 - Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in Waverley that do not meet the planning definition 2017-32

Travelling Showpeople - Not Meeting Planning Definition	Plots
Supply of Plots	
Additional supply from vacant public and private plots	0
Additional supply from pitches on new yards	0
Pitches vacated by households moving to bricks and mortar	0
Pitches vacated by households moving away from the study area	0
Total Supply	0
Current Need	
Households on unauthorised developments	0
Households on unauthorised encampments	0
Concealed households/Doubling-up/Over-crowding	0
Movement from bricks and mortar	0
Households on waiting lists for public yards	0
Total Current Need	0
Future Need	
5 year need from older teenage children	0
Households on yards with temporary planning permission	0
In-migration	0
New household formation	0
<i>(No current or future need)</i>	
Total Future Needs	0
Net Plot Need = (Current and Future Need – Total Supply)	0

Figure 22 – Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in Waverley that do not meet the Planning Definition by 5 Year Periods

Years	0-5	6-10	11-15	Total
	2017-22	2022-27	2027-32	
	0	0	2	2

Appendix D: Sites and Yards Lists (March 2017)

Site/Yard	Authorised Pitches or Plots	Unauthorised Pitches or Plots
Public Sites		
The Willows, Runfold	10	-
Private Sites with Permanent Permission		
7 Plaistow Road (adjacent Burnt Hill)	3	-
9 Burnt Hill, Dunsfold	10	-
Bridge View, Old Bridge Road	8	-
Hill Tops, Cranleigh	3	-
Land east of A31 River Lane, Farnham	1	-
Land south of The Kiln Hall, Badshot Lea	1	-
Land west of Badshot Farm Lane, Badshot	1	-
Land west of Knowle Lane, Cranleigh	1	-
Lydia Park, Cranleigh	20	-
Monkton Farm, Monkton Lane	1	-
New Acres, Cranleigh	35	-
Pollingfold Place, Ellens Green	1	-
Rodborough Hill Park, Milford	17	-
Rushett Common, Bramley	2	-
Tongham Road, Runfold	1	-
Private Sites with Temporary Permission		
None	-	-
Tolerated Sites – Long-term without Planning Permission		
None	-	-
Unauthorised Developments		
Pollingfold Place, Ellens Green	-	8
Rosewood, Brook	-	2
TOTAL PITCHES	115	10
Authorised Travelling Showpeople Yards		
Burnt Hill, Dunsfold	4	-
Old Brickyard, Hambledon	1	-
Tolerated Travelling Showpeople Yards		
Cuckoo Corner, Chiddingfold	1	-
Plaistow Road	7	-
TOTAL PLOTS	13	0
Sites with a planning decision pending		
Land Adjacent To 1 East View Cottages, Alfold	-	1
Old Mill Farm, Thursley	-	1

Appendix E: Household Interview Questions

NOT FOR CIRCULATION**GTAA Questionnaire 2017**

INTERVIEWER: Good Morning/afternoon/evening. My name is < > from Opinion Research Services, working on behalf of XXXX Council.

The Council are undertaking a study of Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople accommodation needs assessment in this area. This is needed to make sure that accommodation needs are properly assessed and to get a better understanding of the needs of the Travelling Community.

The Council need to try and speak with every Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople household in the area to make sure that the assessment of need is accurate.

Your household will not be identified and all the information collected will be anonymous and will only be used to help understand the needs of Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople households.

ORS is registered under the Data Protection Act 1998. Your responses will be stored and processed electronically and securely. This paper form will be securely destroyed after processing. Your household will not be identified to the council and only anonymous data and results will be submitted, though verbatim comments may be reported in full, and the data from this survey will only be used to help understand the needs of Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople households

A General Information

A1	Name of planning authority: <i>INTERVIEWER please write in</i>								
A2	Date/time of site visit(s): <i>INTERVIEWER please write in</i>	DD/MM/YY	TIME						
A3	Name of interviewer: <i>INTERVIEWER please write in</i>								
A4	Address and pitch number: <i>INTERVIEWER please write in</i>								
A5	Type of accommodation: <i>INTERVIEWER please cross one box only</i>	Council <input type="checkbox"/>	Private rented <input type="checkbox"/>	Private owned <input type="checkbox"/>	Unauthorised <input type="checkbox"/>	Bricks and Mortar <input type="checkbox"/>			
A6	Name of Family: <i>INTERVIEWER please write in</i>								
A7	Ethnicity of Family: <i>INTERVIEWER please cross one box only</i>	Romany Gypsy <input type="checkbox"/>	Irish Traveller <input type="checkbox"/>	Scots Gypsy or Traveller <input type="checkbox"/>	Show Person <input type="checkbox"/>	New Traveller <input type="checkbox"/>	English Traveller <input type="checkbox"/>	Welsh Gypsy <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-Traveller <input type="checkbox"/>
		Other (please specify)							
A8	Number of units on the pitch: <i>INTERVIEWER please write in</i>	Mobile homes	Touring Caravans	Day Rooms	Other (please specify)				

NOT FOR CIRCULATION

A9 Is this site your main place of residence? If not where is?

INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

Yes

No

If not main place of residence where is (please specify)

A10 How long have you lived here? If you have moved in the past 5 years, where did you move from? INTERVIEWER: Please write in below

Years

Months

If you have moved in the past 5 years, where did you move from? Include ALL moves

A11 Did you live here out of your own choice or because there was no other option? If there was no other option, why? INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

Choice

No option

If no option, why?

A12 Is this site suitable for your household? If so why and if not why not? (For example close to schools, work, healthcare, family and friends etc.)

INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

Yes

No

Reasons (please specify)

A13 How many separate families or unmarried adults live on this pitch?

INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

B

Demographics

B1 Demographics — Household 1 INTERVIEWER: Please write-in

Person 1

Person 2

Person 3

Sex

Age

Sex

Age

Sex

Age

Complete additional forms for each household on pitch *INTERVIEWER: Please write-in*

Person 4

Person 5

Person 6

Person 7

Person 8

Sex

Age

Sex

Age

Sex

Age

Sex

Age

Sex

Age

C

Accommodation Needs

C1 How many families or unmarried adults living on this pitch are in need of a pitch of their own in the next 5 years? INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

INTERVIEWER: AN ADULT IS DEFINED AS 16+

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Other Please specify

NOT FOR CIRCULATION

- C2** How many of your children will need a home of their own in the next 5 years? If they live here now, will they want to stay on this site? If not, where would they wish to move? (e.g. other site, in bricks and mortar etc.) If they do not live on this site, where do they currently live and would they want to move on to this site or another local site if they could get a pitch? *INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only*

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Other *Please specify*

Details *(Please specify)*

D Waiting List

- D1** Is anyone living here on the waiting list for a pitch in this area?

INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

Yes → Continue to D2
No → Go to D4

- D2** How many people living here are on the waiting list for a pitch in this area?

INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Other *(Please specify)*

Details *(Please specify)*

- D3** How long have they been on the waiting list? *INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only*

0-3 months 3-6 months 6-12 months 1-2 years 2+ years

Other *(Please specify)*

Details *(Please specify)*

- D4** If they are not on the waiting list, do any of the people living here want to be on the waiting list? (*INTERVIEWER* if they do - please take their contact details)

INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

No

Other *(Please specify)*

Details *(Please specify)* and take contact details

NOT FOR CIRCULATION

E

Future Accommodation Needs

E1 Do you plan to move from this site in the next 5 years? If so, why?

INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

Yes If yes → Continue to E2

No If no → Go to E5

If so, why? (please specify)

E2 Where would you move to? *INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only*

Another site in this area (specify where)	A site in another council area (specify where)	Bricks and mortar in this area (specify where)	Bricks and mortar in another council area (specify where)	Other (e.g. land they own elsewhere) (Please specify)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please specify where they would move to
if they own land elsewhere - probe for details

E3 If you want to move would you prefer to buy a private pitch or site, or rent a pitch on a public or private site? *INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only*

Private buy

Private rent

Public rent

E4 Can you afford to buy a private pitch or site? *INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only*

Yes

No

E5 Are you aware of, or do you own any land that could have potential for new pitches? *INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only*

Yes

No

Please ask for details on where land/site is located and who owns the land/site?

NOT FOR CIRCULATION

F

Travelling

F1 How many trips, living in a caravan or trailer, have you or members of your family made away from your permanent base in the last 12 months?

INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

0 1 2 3 4 5+

Go to F6a

Continue to F2

F2 If you or members of your family have travelled in the last 12 months, which family members travelled? INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

All the family

Adult males

Other

If other, please specify

F3 What were the reasons for travelling? INTERVIEWER: Please cross all that apply

Work

Holidays

Visiting family

Fairs

Other

Details / specify if necessary. If fairs—probe for whether this involves work

F4 At what time of year do you or family members usually travel? And for how long?

INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

All year

Summer

Winter

And for how long?

F5 Where do you or family members usually stay when they are travelling?

INTERVIEWER: Please cross all boxes that apply

LA transit sites

Private transit sites

Roadside

Friends/family

Other

If other, please specify

INTERVIEWER: Ask F6a — F8 ONLY if F1 = 0. Otherwise, go to F9

F6a Are there any reasons why you don't you travel at the moment?

Details

F6b Have you or family members ever travelled? INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

Yes

Continue to F7

No

Go to F9

F7a When did you or family members last travel? INTERVIEWER: Please write in

Details

F7b What were the reasons for travelling? INTERVIEWER: Please cross all that apply

Work

Holidays

Visiting family

Fairs

Other

Details / specify if necessary. If fairs—probe for whether this involves work

NOT FOR CIRCULATION

F8 Why do you not travel anymore? *INTERVIEWER: Cross all boxes that apply & probe for details*

Children in school	Ill health	Old age	Settled now	Nowhere to stop	No work opportunities	Other
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

If other, please specify

Details about children in school, types of ill health, or looking after relative with poor health, and specific problems/issues relating to old age

F9 Do you or other family members plan to travel in the future?

INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	→	Continue to F10
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	→	Go to G1
Don't know	<input type="checkbox"/>	→	Go to G1

F10 When, and for what purpose do you/they plan to travel?

Details

F11 Is there anything else you would like to tell us about your travelling patterns?

Details

NOT FOR CIRCULATION

G**Any other information**

G1 Any other information about this site or your accommodation needs? INTERVIEWER:
Please write in

Details (e.g. can current and future needs be met
by expanding or intensifying the existing site?)

G2 Site/Pitch plan? Any concerns? INTERVIEWER: Please sketch & write in

Sketch of Site/Pitch — any concerns?

Are any adaptations needed?

Why does the current accommodation not meet the household's needs; and could their needs could be addressed in situ e.g. extra caravans. This could cover people wanting to live with that household but who cannot currently

Page 7

NOT FOR CIRCULATION

H**Bricks & Mortar Contacts****H1** Contacts for Bricks and Mortar interviews? *INTERVIEWER: Please write in*

Details

Council contact?

Would you like the council to contact you about any of the issues raised in this interview? Please note that although ORS will pass on your contact details to the Council we cannot guarantee when they will contact you?

INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

Yes

No

INTERVIEWER: Can I confirm your name and telephone number so that we can pass them on to the Council for this purpose only. Your details will only be used for this purpose and will not be passed onto anyone else.

Respondent's Name.....

Respondent's Telephone.....

Respondent's Email.....

Interview log

INTERVIEWER: Please record the date and time that the interview was carried out

Date.....

Time of interview.....

Appendix F: Technical Note on Household Formation and Growth Rates



Technical Note

Gypsy and Traveller Household Formation and Growth Rates

August 26th 2015

Opinion Research Services
Spin-out company of Swansea University



As with all our studies, this research is subject to Opinion Research Services' Standard Terms and Conditions of Contract.

Any press release or publication of this research requires the advance approval of ORS. Such approval will only be refused on the grounds of inaccuracy or misrepresentation.

© Copyright August 2015

Contents

Household Growth Rates.....	4
Abstract and conclusions.....	4
Introduction.....	4
Compound growth.....	6
Caravan counts	7
Modelling population growth.....	8
Household growth	12
Household dissolution rates	14
Summary conclusions	14

Household Growth Rates

Abstract and conclusions

1. National and local household formation and growth rates are important components of Gypsy and Traveller accommodation assessments, but little detailed work has been done to assess their likely scale. Nonetheless, nationally, a net growth rate of 3% per annum has been commonly assumed and widely used in local assessments – even though there is actually no statistical evidence of households growing so quickly. The result has been to inflate both national and local requirements for additional pitches unrealistically.
2. Those seeking to provide evidence of high annual net household growth rates for Gypsies and Travellers have sometimes sought to rely on increases in the number of caravans, as reflected in caravan counts. However, caravan count data are unreliable and erratic – so the only proper way to project future population and household growth is through demographic analysis (which, of course, is used to assess housing needs in the settled community).
3. The growth in the Gypsy and Traveller population may be as low as 1.25% per annum – a rate which is much less than the 3% per annum often assumed, but still at least four times greater than in the general population. Even using extreme and unrealistic assumptions, it is hard to find evidence that net Gypsy and Traveller population and household growth rates are above 2% per annum nationally.
4. The often assumed 3% per annum net household growth rate is unrealistic and would require clear statistical evidence before being used for planning purposes. In practice, the best available evidence supports a national net household growth rate of 1.5% per annum for Gypsies and Travellers.
5. Some local authorities might perhaps allow for a household growth rate of up to 2.5% per annum, to provide a ‘margin’ if their populations are relatively youthful; but in areas where on-site surveys indicate that there are fewer children in the Gypsy and Traveller communities, the lower estimate of 1.5% per annum should be used for planning purposes.

Introduction

6. The rate of household growth is a key element in all housing assessments, including Gypsy and Traveller accommodation assessments. Compared with the general population, the relative youthfulness of many Gypsy and Traveller populations means that their birth rates are likely to generate higher-than-average population growth, and proportionately higher *gross* household formation rates. However, while their *gross* rate of household growth might be high, Gypsy and Traveller communities’ future accommodation needs are, in practice, affected by any reduction in the number of households due to dissolution and/or by movements in/out of the area and/or by transfers into other forms of housing. Therefore, the *net* rate of household growth is the *gross* rate of formation *minus* any reductions in households due to such factors. Of course, it is the *net* rate that is important in determining future accommodation needs for Gypsies and Travellers.

7. In this context, it is a matter of concern that many Gypsy and Traveller accommodation needs assessments have not distinguished *gross* and *net* growth rates nor provided evidence for their assumed rates of household increase. These deficiencies are particularly important because when assumed growth rates are unrealistically high, and then compounded over a number of planning years, they can yield exaggerated projections of accommodation needs and misdirect public policy. Nonetheless, assessments and guidance documents have assumed 'standard' *net* growth rates of about 3% without sufficiently recognising either the range of factors impacting on the *gross* household growth rates or the implications of unrealistic assumptions when projected forward on a compound basis year by year.
8. For example, in a study for the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister ('Local Authority Gypsy and Traveller Sites in England', 2003), Pat Niner concluded that *net* growth rates as high as 2%-3% per annum should be assumed. Similarly, the Regional Spatial Strategies (RSS) (which continued to be quoted after their abolition was announced in 2010) used *net* growth rates of 3% per annum without providing any evidence to justify the figure (For example, 'Accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople in the East of England: A Revision to the Regional Spatial Strategy for the East of England July 2009').
9. However, the guidance of the Department of Communities and Local Government ('Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessments: Guidance', 2007) was much clearer in saying that:

The 3% family formation growth rate is used here as an example only. The appropriate rate for individual assessments will depend on the details identified in the local survey, information from agencies working directly with local Gypsy and Traveller communities, and trends identified from figures previously given for the caravan count. [In footnote 6, page 25]

10. The guidance emphasises that local information and trends should always be taken into account – because the *gross* rate of household growth is moderated by reductions in households through dissolution and/or by households moving into bricks and mortar housing or moving to other areas. In other words, even if 3% is plausible as a *gross* growth rate, it is subject to moderation through such reductions in households through dissolution or moves. It is the resulting *net* household growth rate that matters for planning purposes in assessing future accommodation needs.
11. The current guidance also recognises that assessments should use local evidence for *net* future household growth rates. A letter from the Minister for Communities and Local Government (Brandon Lewis MP), to Andrew Selous MP (placed in the House of Commons library on March 26th 2014) said:

I can confirm that the annual growth rate figure of 3% does not represent national planning policy.

The previous Administration's guidance for local authorities on carrying out Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessments under the Housing Act 2004 is unhelpful in that it uses an illustrative example of calculating future accommodation need based on the 3% growth rate figure. The guidance notes that the appropriate rate for individual assessments will depend on the details identified in the local authority's own assessment of need. As such the Government is not endorsing or supporting the 3% growth rate figure.'

12. Therefore, while there are many assessments where a national Gypsy and Traveller household growth rate of 3% per annum has been assumed (on the basis of 'standard' precedent and/or guidance), there is little to justify this position and it conflicts with current planning guidance. In this context, this document seeks to integrate available evidence about *net* household growth rates in order to provide a more robust basis for future assessments.

Compound growth

13. The assumed rate of household growth is crucially important for Gypsy and Traveller studies because for future planning purposes it is projected over time on a compound basis – so errors are progressively enlarged. For example, if an assumed 3% *net* growth rate is compounded each year then the implication is that the number of households will double in only 23.5 years; whereas if a *net* compound rate of 1.5% is used then the doubling of household numbers would take 46.5 years. The table below shows the impact of a range of compound growth rates.

Table 1
Compound Growth Rates and Time Taken for Number of Households to Double

Household Growth Rate per Annum	Time Taken for Household to Double
3.00%	23.5 years
2.75%	25.5 years
2.50%	28 years
2.25%	31 years
2.00%	35 years
1.75%	40 years
1.50%	46.5 years

14. The above analysis is vivid enough, but another illustration of how different rates of household growth impact on total numbers over time is shown in the table below – which uses a baseline of 100 households while applying different compound growth rates over time. After 5 years, the difference between a 1.5% growth rate and a 3% growth rate is only 8 households (116 minus 108); but with a 20-year projection the difference is 46 households (181 minus 135).

Table 2
Growth in Households Over time from a Baseline of 100 Households

Household Growth Rate per Annum	5 years	10 years	15 years	20 years	50 years	100 years
3.00%	116	134	156	181	438	1,922
2.75%	115	131	150	172	388	1,507
2.50%	113	128	145	164	344	1,181
2.25%	112	125	140	156	304	925
2.00%	110	122	135	149	269	724
1.75%	109	119	130	141	238	567
1.50%	108	116	125	135	211	443

15. In summary, the assumed rate of household growth is crucially important because any exaggerations are magnified when the rate is projected over time on a compound basis. As we have shown, when compounded and projected over the years, a 3% annual rate of household growth implies much larger future Gypsy and Traveller accommodation requirements than a 1.5% per annum rate.

Caravan counts

16. Those seeking to demonstrate national Gypsy and Traveller household growth rates of 3% or more per annum have, in some cases, relied on increases in the number of caravans (as reflected in caravan counts) as their evidence. For example, some planning agents have suggested using 5-year trends in the national caravan count as an indication of the general rate of Gypsy and Traveller household growth. For example, the count from July 2008 to July 2013 shows a growth of 19% in the number of caravans on-site – which is equivalent to an average annual compound growth rate of 3.5%. So, *if plausible*, this approach could justify using a 3% or higher annual household growth rate in projections of future needs.
17. However, caravan count data are unreliable and erratic. For example, the July 2013 caravan count was distorted by the inclusion of 1,000 caravans (5% of the total in England) recorded at a Christian event near Weston-Super-Mare in North Somerset. Not only was this only an estimated number, but there were no checks carried out to establish how many caravans were occupied by Gypsies and Travellers. Therefore, the resulting count overstates the Gypsy and Traveller population and also the rate of household growth.
18. ORS has applied the caravan-counting methodology hypothetically to calculate the implied national household growth rates for Gypsies and Travellers over the last 15 years, and the outcomes are shown in the table below. The January 2013 count suggests an average annual growth rate of 1.6% over five years, while the July 2013 count gives an average 5-year rate of 3.5%; likewise a study benchmarked at January 2004 would yield a growth rate of 1%, while one benchmarked at January 2008 would imply a 5% rate of growth. Clearly any model as erratic as this is not appropriate for future planning.

Table 3
National CLG Caravan Count July 1998 to July 2014 with Growth Rates (Source: CLG)

Date	Number of caravans	5 year growth in caravans	Percentage growth over 5 years	Annual over last 5 years.
Jan 2015	20,123	1,735	9.54%	1.84%
July 2014	20,035	2,598	14.90%	2.81%
Jan 2014	19,503	1,638	9.17%	1.77%
July 2013	20,911	3,339	19.00%	3.54%
Jan 2013	19,359	1,515	8.49%	1.64%
Jul 2012	19,261	2,112	12.32%	2.35%
Jan 2012	18,746	2,135	12.85%	2.45%
Jul 2011	18,571	2,258	13.84%	2.63%
Jan 2011	18,383	2,637	16.75%	3.15%
Jul 2010	18,134	2,271	14.32%	2.71%
Jan 2010	18,370	3,001	19.53%	3.63%
Jul 2009	17,437	2,318	15.33%	2.89%
Jan 2009	17,865	3,503	24.39%	4.46%
Jul 2008	17,572	2,872	19.54%	3.63%
Jan 2008	17,844	3,895	27.92%	5.05%

Jul 2007	17,149	2,948	20.76%	3.84%
Jan 2007	16,611	2,893	21.09%	3.90%
Jul 2006	16,313	2,511	18.19%	3.40%
Jan 2006	15,746	2,352	17.56%	3.29%
Jul 2005	15,863	2,098	15.24%	2.88%
Jan 2005	15,369	1,970	14.70%	2.78%
Jul 2004	15,119	2,110	16.22%	3.05%
Jan 2004	14,362	817	6.03%	1.18%
Jul 2003	14,700			
Jan 2003	13,949			
Jul 2002	14,201			
Jan 2002	13,718			
Jul 2001	13,802			
Jan 2001	13,394			
Jul 2000	13,765			
Jan 2000	13,399			
Jan 1999	13,009			
Jul 1998	13,545			

19. The annual rate of growth in the number of caravans varies from slightly over 1% to just over 5% per annum. We would note that if longer time periods are used the figures do become more stable. Over the 36 year period 1979 (the start of the caravan counts) to 2015 the compound growth rate in caravan numbers has been 2.5% per annum.
20. However, there is no reason to assume that these widely varying rates correspond with similar rates of increase in the household population. In fact, the highest rates of caravan growth occurred between 2006 and 2009, when the first wave of Gypsy and Traveller accommodation needs assessments were being undertaken – so it seems plausible that the assessments prompted the inclusion of additional sites and caravans (which may have been there, but not counted previously). Counting caravan numbers is very poor proxy for Gypsy and Traveller household growth. Caravans counted are not always occupied by Gypsy and Traveller families and numbers of caravans held by families may increase generally as affluence and economic conditions improve, (but without a growth in households)
21. There is no reason to believe that the varying rates of increase in the number of caravans are matched by similar growth rates in the household population. The caravan count is not an appropriate planning guide and the only proper way to project future population and household growth is through demographic analysis – which should consider both population and household growth rates. This approach is not appropriate to needs studies for the following reasons:

Modelling population growth

Introduction

22. The basic equation for calculating the rate of Gypsy and Traveller population growth seems simple: start with the base population and then calculate the average increase/decrease by allowing for births, deaths and in-/out-migration. Nevertheless, deriving satisfactory estimates is difficult because the evidence is often tenuous – so, in this context, ORS has modelled the growth of the national Gypsy and Traveller population based on the most likely birth and death rates, and by using PopGroup (the leading software for

population and household forecasting). To do so, we have supplemented the available national statistical sources with data derived locally (from our own surveys) and in some cases from international research. None of the supplementary data are beyond question, and none will stand alone; but, when taken together they have cumulative force. In any case the approach we adopt is more critically self-aware than simply adopting 'standard' rates on the basis of precedent.

Migration effects

23. Population growth is affected by national net migration and local migration (as Gypsies and Travellers move from one area to another). In terms of national migration, the population of Gypsies and Travellers is relatively fixed, with little international migration. It is in principle possible for Irish Travellers (based in Ireland) to move to the UK, but there is no evidence of this happening to a significant extent and the vast majority of Irish Travellers were born in the UK or are long-term residents. In relation to local migration effects, Gypsies and Travellers can and do move between local authorities – but in each case the in-migration to one area is matched by an out-migration from another area. Since it is difficult to estimate the net effect of such movements over local plan periods, ORS normally assumes that there will be nil net migration to/from an area. Nonetheless, where it is possible to estimate specific in-/out- migration effects, we take account of them, while distinguishing between migration and household formation effects.

Population profile

24. The main source for the rate of Gypsy and Traveller population growth is the UK 2011 Census. In some cases the data can be supplemented by ORS's own household survey data which is derived from more than 2,000 face-to-face interviews with Gypsies and Travellers since 2012. The ethnicity question in the 2011 census included for the first time 'Gypsy and Irish Traveller' as a specific category. While non-response bias probably means that the size of the population was underestimated, the age profile the census provides is not necessarily distorted and matches the profile derived from ORS's extensive household surveys.
25. The age profile is important, as the table below (derived from census data) shows. Even assuming zero deaths in the population, achieving an annual population growth of 3% (that is, doubling in size every 23.5 years) would require half of the "year one" population to be aged under 23.5 years. When deaths are accounted for (at a rate of 0.5% per annum), to achieve the same rate of growth, a population of Gypsies and Travellers would need about half its members to be aged under 16 years. In fact, though, the 2011 census shows that the midway age point for the national Gypsy and Traveller population is 26 years – so the population could not possibly double in 23.5 years.

Table 4

Age Profile for the Gypsy and Traveller Community in England (Source: UK Census of Population 2011)

Age Group	Number of People	Cumulative Percentage
Age 0 to 4	5,725	10.4
Age 5 to 7	3,219	16.3
Age 8 to 9	2,006	19.9
Age 10 to 14	5,431	29.8
Age 15	1,089	31.8
Age 16 to 17	2,145	35.7
Age 18 to 19	1,750	38.9

Age 20 to 24	4,464	47.1
Age 25 to 29	4,189	54.7
Age 30 to 34	3,833	61.7
Age 35 to 39	3,779	68.5
Age 40 to 44	3,828	75.5
Age 45 to 49	3,547	82.0
Age 50 to 54	2,811	87.1
Age 55 to 59	2,074	90.9
Age 60 to 64	1,758	94.1
Age 65 to 69	1,215	96.3
Age 70 to 74	905	97.9
Age 75 to 79	594	99.0
Age 80 to 84	303	99.6
Age 85 and over	230	100.0

Birth and fertility rates

26. The table above provides a way of understanding the rate of population growth through births. The table shows that surviving children aged 0-4 years comprise 10.4% of the Gypsy and Traveller population – which means that, on average, 2.1% of the total population was born each year (over the last 5 years). The same estimate is confirmed if we consider that those aged 0-14 comprise 29.8% of the Gypsy and Traveller population – which also means that almost exactly 2% of the population was born each year. (Deaths during infancy will have minimal impact within the early age groups, so the data provides the best basis for estimating of the birth rate for the Gypsy and Traveller population.)
27. The total fertility rate (TFR) for the whole UK population is just below 2 – which means that on average each woman can be expected to have just less than two children who reach adulthood. We know of only one estimate of the fertility rates of the UK Gypsy and Traveller community. This is contained in the book, ‘Ethnic identity and inequalities in Britain: The dynamics of diversity’ by Dr Stephen Jivraj and Professor Ludi Simpson published in May 2015. This draws on the 2011 Census data and provides an estimated total fertility rate of 2.75 for the Gypsy and traveller community
28. ORS’s have been able to examine our own survey data to investigate the fertility rate of Gypsy and Traveller women. The ORS data shows that, on average, Gypsy and Traveller women aged 32 years have 2.5 children (but, because the children of mothers above this age point tend to leave home progressively, full TFRs were not completed). On this basis it is reasonable to assume an average of three children per woman during her lifetime which would be consistent with the evidence from the 2011 Census of a figure of around 2.75 children per woman. In any case, the TFR for women aged 24 years is 1.5 children, which is significantly short of the number needed to double the population in 23.5 years – and therefore certainly implies a net growth rate of less than 3% per annum.

Death rates

29. Although the above data imply an annual growth rate through births of about 2%, the death rate has also to be taken into account – which means that the *net* population growth cannot conceivably achieve 2% per

annum. In England and Wales there are nearly half-a-million deaths each year – about 0.85% of the total population of 56.1 million in 2011. If this death rate is applied to the Gypsy and Traveller community then the resulting projected growth rate is in the region of 1.15%-1.25% per annum.

30. However, the Gypsy and Traveller population is significantly younger than average and may be expected to have a lower percentage death rate overall (even though a smaller than average proportion of the population lives beyond 68 to 70 years). While there can be no certainty, an assumed death rate of around 0.5% to 0.6% per annum would imply a net population growth rate of around 1.5% per annum.
31. Even though the population is younger and has a lower death rate than average, Gypsies and Travellers are less likely than average to live beyond 68 to 70 years. Whereas the average life expectancy across the whole population of the UK is currently just over 80 years, a Sheffield University study found that Gypsy and Traveller life expectancy is about 10-12 years less than average (Parry et al (2004) 'The Health Status of Gypsies and Travellers: Report of Department of Health Inequalities in Health Research Initiative', University of Sheffield). Therefore, in our population growth modelling we have used a conservative estimate of average life expectancy as 72 years – which is entirely consistent with the lower-than-average number of Gypsies and Travellers aged over 70 years in the 2011 census (and also in ORS's own survey data). On the basis of the Sheffield study, we could have supposed a life expectancy of only 68, but we have been cautious in our approach.

Modelling outputs

32. If we assume a TFR of 3 and an average life expectancy of 72 years for Gypsies and Travellers, then the modelling projects the population to increase by 66% over the next 40 years – implying a population compound growth rate of 1.25% per annum (well below the 3% per annum often assumed). If we assume that Gypsy and Traveller life expectancy increases to 77 years by 2050, then the projected population growth rate rises to nearly 1.5% per annum. To generate an 'upper range' rate of population growth, we have assumed a TFR of 4 and an average life expectancy rising to 77 over the next 40 years – which then yields an 'upper range' growth rate of 1.9% per annum. We should note, though, that national TFR rates of 4 are currently found only in sub-Saharan Africa and Afghanistan, so it is an implausible assumption.
33. There are indications that these modelling outputs are well founded. For example, in the ONS's 2012-based Sub-National Population Projections the projected population growth rate for England to 2037 is 0.6% per annum, of which 60% is due to natural change and 40% due to migration. Therefore, the natural population growth rate for England is almost exactly 0.35% per annum – meaning that our estimate of the Gypsy and Traveller population growth rate is four times greater than that of the general population of England.
34. The ORS Gypsy and Traveller findings are also supported by data for comparable populations around the world. As noted, on the basis of sophisticated analysis, Hungary is planning for its Roma population to grow at around 2.0% per annum, but the underlying demographic growth is typically closer to 1.5% per annum. The World Bank estimates that the populations of Bolivia, Cambodia, Egypt, Malaysia, Pakistan, Paraguay, Philippines and Venezuela (countries with high birth rates and improving life expectancy) all show population growth rates of around 1.7% per annum. Therefore, in the context of national data, ORS's modelling and plausible international comparisons, it is implausible to assume a net 3% annual growth rate for the Gypsy and Traveller population.

Household growth

35. In addition to population growth influencing the number of households, the size of households also affects the number. Hence, population and household growth rates do not necessarily match directly, mainly due to the current tendency for people to live in smaller (childless or single person) households (including, of course, older people (following divorce or as surviving partners)). Based on such factors, the CLG 2012-based projections convert current population data to a projected household growth rate of 0.85% per annum (compared with a population growth rate of 0.6% per annum).
36. Because the Gypsy and Traveller population is relatively young and has many single parent households, a 1.5% annual population growth could yield higher-than-average household growth rates, particularly if average household sizes fall or if younger-than-average households form. However, while there is evidence that Gypsy and Traveller households already form at an earlier age than in the general population, the scope for a more rapid rate of growth, through even earlier household formation, is limited.
37. Based on the 2011 census, the table below compares the age of household representatives in English households with those in Gypsy and Traveller households – showing that the latter has many more household representatives aged under-25 years. In the general English population 3.6% of household representatives are aged 16-24, compared with 8.7% in the Gypsy and Traveller population. Because the census includes both housed and on-site Gypsies and Travellers without differentiation, it is not possible to know if there are different formation rates on sites and in housing. However, ORS's survey data (for sites in areas such as Central Bedfordshire, Cheshire, Essex, Gloucestershire and a number of authorities in Hertfordshire) shows that about 10% of Gypsy and Traveller households have household representatives aged under-25 years.

Table 5
Age of Head of Household (Source: UK Census of Population 2011)

Age of household representative	All households in England		Gypsy and Traveller households in England	
	Number of households	Percentage of households	Number of households	Percentage of households
Age 24 and under	790,974	3.6%	1,698	8.7%
Age 25 to 34	3,158,258	14.3%	4,232	21.7%
Age 35 to 49	6,563,651	29.7%	6,899	35.5%
Age 50 to 64	5,828,761	26.4%	4,310	22.2%
Age 65 to 74	2,764,474	12.5%	1,473	7.6%
Age 75 to 84	2,097,807	9.5%	682	3.5%
Age 85 and over	859,443	3.9%	164	0.8%
Total	22,063,368	100%	19,458	100%

38. The following table shows that the proportion of single person Gypsy and Traveller households is not dissimilar to the wider population of England; but there are more lone parents, fewer couples without children, and fewer households with non-dependent children amongst Gypsies and Travellers. This data suggest that Gypsy and Traveller households form at an earlier age than the general population.

Table 6
Household Type (Source: UK Census of Population 2011)

Household Type	All households in England		Gypsy and Traveller households in England	
	Number of households	Percentage of households	Number of households	Percentage of households
Single person	6,666,493	30.3%	5,741	29.5%
Couple with no children	5,681,847	25.7%	2345	12.1%
Couple with dependent children	4,266,670	19.3%	3683	18.9%
Couple with non-dependent children	1,342,841	6.1%	822	4.2%
Lone parent: Dependent children	1,573,255	7.1%	3,949	20.3%
Lone parent: All children non-dependent	766,569	3.5%	795	4.1%
Other households	1,765,693	8.0%	2,123	10.9%
Total	22,063,368	100%	19,458	100%

39. ORS's own site survey data is broadly compatible with the data above. We have found that: around 50% of pitches have dependent children compared with 45% in the census; there is a high proportion of lone parents; and about a fifth of Gypsy and Traveller households appear to be single person households. One possible explanation for the census finding a higher proportion of single person households than the ORS surveys is that many older households are living in bricks and mortar housing (perhaps for health-related reasons).
40. ORS's on-site surveys have also found more female than male residents. It is possible that some single person households were men linked to lone parent females and unwilling to take part in the surveys. A further possible factor is that at any time about 10% of the male Gypsy and Traveller population is in prison – an inference drawn from the fact that about 5% of the male prison population identify themselves as Gypsies and Travellers ('People in Prison: Gypsies, Romany and Travellers', Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Prisons, February 2004) – which implies that around 4,000 Gypsies and Travellers are in prison. Given that almost all of the 4,000 people are male and that there are around 200,000 Gypsies and Travellers in total, this equates to about 4% of the total male population, but closer to 10% of the adult male population.
41. The key point, though, is that since 20% of Gypsy and Traveller households are lone parents, and up to 30% are single persons, there is limited potential for further reductions in average household size to increase current household formation rates significantly – and there is no reason to think that earlier household formations or increasing divorce rates will in the medium term affect household formation rates. While there are differences with the general population, a 1.5% per annum Gypsy and Traveller population

growth rate is likely to lead to a household growth rate of 1.5% per annum – more than the 0.85% for the English population as a whole, but much less than the often assumed 3% rate for Gypsies and Travellers.

Household dissolution rates

42. Finally, consideration of household dissolution rates also suggests that the net household growth rate for Gypsies and Travellers is very unlikely to reach 3% per annum (as often assumed). The table below, derived from ORS's mainstream strategic housing market assessments, shows that generally household dissolution rates are between 1.0% and 1.7% per annum. London is different because people tend to move out upon retirement, rather than remaining in London until death. To adopt a 1.0% dissolution rate as a standard guide nationally would be too low, because it means that average households will live for 70 years after formation. A 1.5% dissolution rate would be a more plausible as a national guide, implying that average households live for 47 years after formation.

Table 7
Annual Dissolution Rates (Source: SHMAs undertaken by ORS)

Area	Annual projected household dissolution	Number of households	Percentage
Greater London	25,000	3,266,173	0.77%
Blaenau Gwent	468.2	30,416	1.54%
Bradford	3,355	199,296	1.68%
Ceredigion	348	31,562	1.10%
Exeter, East Devon, Mid Devon, Teignbridge and Torbay	4,318	254,084	1.70%
Neath Port Talbot	1,352	57,609	2.34%
Norwich, South Norfolk and Broadland	1,626	166,464	0.98%
Suffolk Coastal	633	53,558	1.18%
Monmouthshire Newport Torfaen	1,420	137,929	1.03%

43. The 1.5% dissolution rate is important because the death rate is a key factor in moderating the *gross* household growth rate. Significantly, applying a 1.5% dissolution rate to a 3% *gross* household growth formation rate yields a *net* rate of 1.5% per annum – which ORS considers is a realistic figure for the Gypsy and Traveller population and which is in line with other demographic information. After all, based on the dissolution rate, a *net* household formation rate of 3% per annum would require a 4.5% per annum *gross* formation rate (which in turn would require extremely unrealistic assumptions about birth rates).

Summary conclusions

44. Future Gypsy and Traveller accommodation needs have typically been over-estimated because population and household growth rates have been projected on the basis of assumed 3% per annum net growth rates.
45. Unreliable caravan counts have been used to support the supposed growth rate, but there is no reason to suppose that the rate of increase in caravans corresponds to the annual growth of the Gypsy and Traveller population or households.

46. The growth of the national Gypsy and Traveller population may be as low as 1.25% per annum – which is still four times greater than in the settled community. Even using extreme and unrealistic assumptions, it is hard to find evidence that the net national Gypsy and Traveller population and household growth is above 2% per annum nationally. The often assumed 3% net household growth rate per annum for Gypsies and Travellers is unrealistic.
47. The best available evidence suggests that the net annual Gypsy and Traveller household growth rate is 1.5% per annum. The often assumed 3% per annum net rate is unrealistic. Some local authorities might allow for a household growth rate of up to 2.5% per annum, to provide a ‘margin’ if their populations are relatively youthful; but in areas where on-site surveys indicate that there are fewer children in the Gypsy and Traveller population, the lower estimate of 1.5% per annum should be used.